On The *j*-Invariants of CM-Elliptic Curves Defined Over \mathbb{Z}_p

Andrew Fiori

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Queens University, Jeffery Hall, University Ave, Office 517, Kingston, ON, K7L 3N6, Canada

Abstract

We characterize the possible reductions of *j*-invariants of elliptic curves which admit complex multiplication by an order \mathcal{O} where the curve itself is defined over \mathbb{Z}_p . In particular, we show that the distribution of these *j*-invariants depends on which primes divide the discriminant and conductor of the order.

Keywords: Complex Multiplication, Lifting, Elliptic Curves

1. Introduction

There are several different ways of framing the results of this paper. Out main object of study will be CM-elliptic curves over \mathbb{Z}_p which are supersingular at p. The results we obtain will primarily be directed towards trying to address the following three questions:

- 1. When are there elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Z}_p with CM by an order \mathcal{O} in a quadratic imaginary field K in which p is inert and where p does not divide the conductor of \mathcal{O} ?
- 2. What factors affect the possible reductions of their *j*-invariants modulo p amongst the set of all supersingular \mathbb{F}_p -rational *j*-invariants?
- 3. Given an \mathbb{F}_p -rational supersingular *j*-invariant which admits CM by \mathcal{O} , when does there exist an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Z}_p , with CM by \mathcal{O} which reduces to it.

One natural source of interest in these questions is the following observation of Ernst Kani:

Proposition. Every \mathbb{F}_p elliptic curve with CM by \mathcal{O} lifts to \mathbb{Z}_p (with a lifting of its CM to $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p$) if and only if p does not divide the conductor of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[j(E_1), \ldots, j(E_n)]$ generated by the j invariants of all elliptic curves with CM by \mathcal{O} .

Remark 1.1. This ring $\mathbb{Z}[j(E_1), \ldots, j(E_n)]$ is a very natural order in the ring class field of \mathcal{O} , its structure is mysterious.

The results we obtain are somehow in contrast to the same question asked for elliptic curves over \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} the unramified quadratic extension of \mathbb{Z}_p . In particular, for the same questions asked over \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} , we have the following answers:

- 1. There are always CM-elliptic curves over \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} with CM by \mathcal{O} an order in a quadratic imaginary field K in which p is inert, and where p does not divide the conductor.
- 2. From the work of Cornut-Vatsal [CV05, CV07] and Jetchev-Kane [JK11] we have that the reductions of the *j*-invariants of elliptic curves with CM by \mathcal{O} are equidistributed among the supersingular values in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} (as we vary the conductors \mathcal{O} subject to certain congruence conditions). Moreover, for each p and all but finitely many \mathcal{O} where p is inert, the map from elliptic curves with CM by \mathcal{O} to supersingular *j*-invariants in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} is surjective.
- 3. By the work of Deuring [Deu41] we know that given a supersingular elliptic curve \overline{E} with CM by \mathcal{O} there always exists a lift to an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} with CM by \mathcal{O} which reduces to \overline{E} .

The results we obtain are motivated by computations, some of the data from which is presented in the Appendix, which gave results which seemed contrary to the above. In particular if we consider only the elliptic curves which are defined over \mathbb{Z}_p then:

Email address: afiori@mast.queensu.ca (Andrew Fiori)

- They are not always surjective onto supersingular \mathbb{F}_p values as we vary \mathcal{O} among
 - maximal orders subject to certain congruence conditions on the discriminant;
 - orders in a certain fixed K subject to certain congruence conditions on the conductor;
 - orders subject to certain congruence conditions on the conductor and discriminant of K.
- The set of possible values, and hence the overall distributions, depends on congruence conditions on both the discriminant of K and the conductor of \mathcal{O} .
- For certain congruence conditions on discriminants and conductors there are irreducible factors which always appear together, in equal numbers. So the appearance of a given factor is not independent on the appearance of another.

We should emphasize before proceeding that the above does not actually conflict with the aforementioned equidistribution results. Firstly, because the \mathbb{Z}_p curves have density 0 among all curves, but moreover, because the data does suggest the following:

- Varying \mathcal{O} subject to congruence conditions on the discriminants and/or conductors; the *j*-invariants which appear are very likely to following a simple distribution.
- The equidistribution results should work primarily with conditions of the form $\ell / D \mathfrak{f}$ rather than $\ell | D \mathfrak{f}$ whereas the difference in behavior is primarily from a comparison of these cases.

Consequently, it is possible or perhaps even likely that based on heuristic arguments and the precise types of families considered in the work of Cornut-Vatsal and Jetchev-Kane, some form of the equidistribution results one would have expected still hold for the \mathbb{Z}_p -terms.

• The work of [CV07] actually describes circumstances in which there can be correlation between frequencies, however we should note that the correlations we observe are only apparent for \mathbb{Z}_p values and not the \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} values with the same reductions, so even if they have the same underlying explanation, the phenomenon is still somewhat distinct.

This paper is organized as follows:

- In Section 2 we introduce the relevant background.
- In Section 3 we state and prove our results
- In Section 4 we discuss two natural questions our work leaves open.
- In Appendix A we discuss the computations and data on which are work is based.

2. Background

In this section we will be introducing the results necessary to state and prove our theorems. Much of what we are saying is very well known, and can be found in many references on the theory of complex multiplication. Some results which are perhaps less well known can be found in [Sch10], [Deu41], [Ibu82] or [Dor89].

We recall the following important facts:

Theorem 2.1. If E is an elliptic curve over a field of characteristic 0 then either:

- $End(E) = \mathbb{Z}$, this is the general case.
- $End(E) = \mathcal{O}$, for $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ an order in a quadratic imaginary field, this is the so-called CM-case.

We will be interested in the CM or complex multiplication case in characteristic 0, where we have the following classification result:

Theorem 2.2. The elliptic curve $E_{\tau} = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z})$ has $End(E) = \mathcal{O}$ if and only if

- 1. $\tau \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$, that is τ generates a (complex) quadratic field, and
- 2. $\mathbb{Z} + \tau \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ is a (projective) \mathcal{O} -module.

Moreover, for any algebraically closed field C of characteristic 0 there is a bijective correspondence between elliptic curves over C with $End(E) = \mathcal{O}$ and $C\ell(\mathcal{O})$ the ideal class group of \mathcal{O} .

Remark 2.3. Note that there is an essentially equivalent bijection between $C\ell(\mathcal{O})$ and pairs $(E, \rho : \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\sim} End(E))$ of E and an isomorphism of \mathcal{O} with End(E) with a fixed CM-type. This bijection extends to characteristic p where we consider instead certain optimal embeddings $\mathcal{O} \to End(E)$.

In the definition of $P_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ below, it is conceptually better to be considering the bijection of the theorem.

Theorem 2.4. If E is an elliptic curve over a field of characteristic p then either:

- $End(E) = \mathbb{Z}$, this is the general case.
- $End(E) = \mathcal{O}$, for $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ an order in a quadratic imaginary field in which p splits.
- $End(E) = \mathbb{B}$, for \mathbb{B} a maximal order in a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} ramified only at p and ∞ . This is the so-called supersingular case.

From the above we see that if ever we can reduce a CM elliptic curve E at a prime inert in \mathcal{O} we will obtain a supersingular elliptic curve. In the characteristic p setting it will be this case we are most interested in.

Notation 2.5. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ be square free so that $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$ is the quadratic imaginary field of discriminant D, denote by \mathcal{O}_K its maximal order and $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{f}} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathfrak{f}\mathcal{O}_K$ an order of conductor $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by:

$$P_{\mathcal{O}}(X) = \prod_{\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft \mathcal{O}} (X - j(\mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{a}))$$

Denote by L the splitting field of $P_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ over K.

The following facts are well known, for a reference see for example [Sch10].

- $P_{\mathcal{O}}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ and is irreducible over K.
- L is abelian over K, with $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K) \simeq C\ell(\mathcal{O})$, the action being the natural permutation action of $C\ell(\mathcal{O})$ on the roots.
- L is galois over \mathbb{Q} , the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ on $C\ell(\mathcal{O})$ being $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ so that $\operatorname{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is a generalized dihedral group.
- The action of complex conjugation on the ideals of K, agrees with the action on the set $CM(\mathcal{O})$ which agrees with the action of $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$.
- L/K is ramified only at primes over \mathfrak{f} , whereas L/\mathbb{Q} is ramified only at primes over $D\mathfrak{f}$.

We shall denote by $N = \mathbb{Q}(j) = \mathbb{Q}[X]/(P_{\mathcal{O}}(X)) \subset L$. Based on the above we can conclude the following:

- If p is inert in K and p does not divide \mathfrak{f} (or equivalently that $\left(\frac{-D\mathfrak{f}^2}{p}\right) = -1$) then p splits in L/K.
- If $\left(\frac{-Df^2}{p}\right) = -1$ then $P_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ factors as a product of quadratic and linear terms over \mathbb{Z}_p .

Remark 2.6. The above agrees with the fact that the reductions of these elliptic curves must be defined over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , as they are known to be supersingular.

Proposition 2.7. If p is inert in K, and E is an elliptic curve with CM by \mathcal{O} , then the reduction of E modulo p is supersingular. In particular, $\operatorname{End}(\overline{E}) = \mathbb{B}$, where \mathbb{B} is a maximal order in a quaternion algebra ramified only at p and infinity. Moreover, there is a bijection between elliptic curves with CM by \mathcal{O} and pairs:

 $(\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{B})$

of \mathcal{O} with an optimal embedding into a maximal order \mathbb{B} .

Moreover, the natural action of the ideals of \mathcal{O} by conjugation on such pairs ($\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{B}$) agrees with the action of the ideals on the collection of elliptic curves with CM by \mathcal{O} .

See [Dor89].

From now on we shall be working in the setting where p is split in K and p does not divide f. In particular we are assuming that $\left(\frac{-Df^2}{p}\right) = -1$.

Proposition 2.8. If $P_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ has a linear factor over \mathbb{Z}_p , the number of such linear factors is $|\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)[2]|$ the size of the two torsion of the class group.

Proof. By basic algebraic number theory we must count the size of the conjugacy class of Frobenius. This is then a basic property to dihedral groups. \square

Remark 2.9. If $|\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)[2]| = 1$ then $P_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ has a unique linear factor over \mathbb{Z}_n .

Theorem 2.10 (Deuring). If E corresponds to the data ($\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{B}$) then the reduction of E modulo p is defined over \mathbb{F}_p (rather than simply \mathbb{F}_{p^2}) if and only if \mathbb{B} contains $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$.

See [Deu41].

In [Ibu82] Ibukiyama gives a complete classification of the maximal orders \mathbb{B} which contain $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$.

Notation 2.11. Fix p and $q = 3 \pmod{8}$ such that $\mathbb{B} = (-p, -q)$ is the quaternion algebra ramified only at p and ∞ . Fix $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $\alpha^2 = -p, \beta^2 = -q$ and $\alpha\beta = -\beta\alpha$. Choose $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r^2 + p = mq$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Denote:

$$O(p,q,r,m) = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\frac{\alpha(1+\beta)}{2} + \mathbb{Z}\frac{1+\beta}{2} + \mathbb{Z}\frac{(r+\alpha)\beta}{q}$$

If $p = 3 \pmod{4}$ choose $r' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(r')^2 + p = 4m'q$ for some $m' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote:

$$O'(p,q,r',m') = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\frac{1+\alpha}{2} + \mathbb{Z}\beta + \mathbb{Z}\frac{(r+\alpha)\beta}{2q}$$

Theorem 2.12 (Ibukiyama). The sets O(p,q,r,m) (and O'(p,q,r',m')) are maximal orders of \mathbb{B} , their isomorphism classes depend only on q and not on r or m. Moreover, all pairs consisting of a maximal order in \mathbb{B} with an embedding of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$ are of the form O(p,q,r,m) (or O'(p,q,r',m')) with the embedding taking $\sqrt{-p} \to \pm \alpha$.

The orders O(p,q,r,m) and O'(p,q,r',m') are only ever isomorphic if they correspond to the *j*-invariant 1728.

See [Ibu82].

Remark 2.13. In O(p, q, r, m) we may write:

$$\alpha = 2\left(\frac{\alpha(1+\beta)}{2}\right) - q\left(\frac{(r+\alpha)\beta}{q}\right) + qr.$$

Remark 2.14. We can count the number of isomorphism classes of O(p,q,r,m) (respectively O'(p,q,r',m')) by looking at the class numbers h_p for $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$ (and h_p for $\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{-p})/2])$, we have the following standard formulas (for $p \neq 3$):

- The number of supersingular j invariants over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} is $n = \lfloor (p-1)/12 \rfloor + e_0 + e_{1728}$, where e_x is 0 or 1 depending on if x is supersingular at p.
- If $p = 7 \pmod{8}$ then $h_p = h_p$ and there are $(h_p + 1)/2$ options for both O(p, q, r, m) and O'(p, q, r', m').
- If $p = 3 \pmod{8}$ then $h_p = 3\tilde{h}_p$ and there are $(h_p + 1)/2$ options for O'(p, q, r', m') and $(\tilde{h}_p + 1)/2$ options for O'(p,q,r',m').
- If $p = 1 \pmod{4}$ there are $h_p/2$ options for O(p, q, r, m).

Combining the above allows us to compute the number of \mathbb{F}_p rational supersingular values in terms of h_p .

More generally, if we fix $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ a quadratic imaginary field of discriminant -D and class number h_K . Fix an order $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathfrak{f}\mathcal{O}_K$ and write $\mathfrak{f} = \prod q_i^{a_i}$ The class number of \mathcal{O} is given by:

$$h_{\mathcal{O}} = \epsilon h_K \prod_i \left(q_i - \left(\frac{-D}{q_i}\right) \right) q_i^{a_i - 1}$$

where $\epsilon = 1$ unless D = -3 or D = -4.

If D = -3 and the formula above is divisible by 3 then $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3}$. If D = -4 and the formula above is divisible by 2 then $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem 2.15 (Halter-Koch). If n is the number of prime divisors of $D\mathfrak{f}$ and 2 does not divide \mathfrak{f} then :

$$|C\ell(\mathcal{O})[2]| = \begin{cases} 2^{n-1} & D\mathfrak{f} \ odd\\ 2^{n-2} & 2||D\mathfrak{f}\\ 2^{n-1} & 4||D\mathfrak{f}\\ 2^{n-1} & 8||D\mathfrak{f}\\ 2^n & 16|D\mathfrak{f} \end{cases}$$

More precisely, the maximal 2-extension of the ring class field of \mathcal{O} contains:

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{(-1)^{(q-1)/2}q})$$

where q is an odd prime factor of Df.

If D = -8m then the maximal 2-extension of the ring class field of \mathcal{O} contains:

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{(-1)^{(m-1)/2}2}).$$

If $D = 4 \pmod{8}$ and $4|\mathfrak{f}$ then the maximal 2-subextension of the ring class field of \mathcal{O} contains:

 $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}).$

If D is odd, and 8|f then the maximal 2-subextension of the ring class field of \mathcal{O} contains:

 $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}).$

If $D = 4 \pmod{8}$, or $2|\mathfrak{f}$ and 2|D, or D is odd and $4|\mathfrak{f}$ then the maximal 2-extension of the ring class field of \mathcal{O} contains:

 $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}).$

The above fields generate the genus field F, that is the maximal 2-subextension of the ring class field of \mathcal{O} .

See [Sch10, Thm 6.1.4].

3. Results

In this section we will present our main theorems. These are primarily structured to address the entries in the data which we present in Appendix A. We will begin by looking at certain conditions under which there can be no elliptic curves over \mathbb{Z}_p at all.

Theorem 3.1. Fix $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ of discriminant -D. Fix an order $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathfrak{f}\mathcal{O}_K$ and suppose that $\left(\frac{-D\mathfrak{f}^2}{p}\right) = -1$. There are no elliptic curves over \mathbb{Z}_p with CM by \mathcal{O} if any of the following occur:

- there is an odd prime factor q of Df with $\left(\frac{-p}{q}\right) = -1$
- $p = 1 \pmod{4}$ and $16|Df^2$.
- $p = 3 \pmod{8}$ and 8|D.
- $p = 3 \pmod{8}$ and $64|Df^2$

Otherwise there are exactly $|C\ell(\mathcal{O})[2]|$ *j*-invariants for elliptic curves over \mathbb{Z}_p with CM by \mathcal{O} .

Remark 3.2. The condition that there is an odd prime factor q of D with $\left(\frac{-p}{q}\right) = -1$ implies in particular that the quaternion algebra (-p, -D) is ramified at q. Though this can be used to justify the condition for those q|D, we will not follow this strategy of proof, rather we give a proof which has a more natural connection to class field theory.

The condition on odd primes cannot be extended to even primes by use of the Kronecker symbol, the dependence on the behavior at 2 is more subtle. We shall use the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. Fix $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ of discriminant -D. Fix an order $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathfrak{f}\mathcal{O}_K$ and suppose that $\left(\frac{-D\mathfrak{f}^2}{p}\right) = -1$. The polynomial $P_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ has a linear factor over \mathbb{Z}_p if and only if $N = \mathbb{Q}(j(\mathcal{O}))$ has no quadratic subextension in which p is inert.

Proof. If there is a quadratic subextension of N which is inert, then all factors of p in N have inertial degree 2, and thus there can be no linear factors.

Conversely, suppose every factor of p in N has inertial degree 2. let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of L over p and let σ be a generator for the decomposition group of \mathfrak{p} and let τ be a generator of Gal(L/N). Then

- σ is indivisible with exact order 2, because this is true of Frob_p.
- σ and τ are not conjugate, since if τ were a conjugate of Frob_p the field $N = L^{\tau}$ would have a non-inert prime.
- σ and τ commute since σ has order 2.
- $\sigma\tau$ is in $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$ as they both act non-trivially on K.
- It follows from the above, and the basic structure of dihedral groups, that $\sigma\tau$ is indivisible with exact order 2.

Thus we may write:

$$\operatorname{Gal}(L/K) = \langle \sigma \tau \rangle \times H$$

and thus

$$\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle \sigma \rangle \times (H \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle).$$

We see that $G = (H \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle)$ is a normal subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$, moreover, the field L^G is an inert quadratic subextension of N.

Lemma 3.4. The maximal 2-subextension of N is the totally real subfield M of F the genus field of L.

Proof. It suffices to show that N has a real embedding since any composite of quadratic extensions is either totally complex or totally real.

To see this we use the fact that:

$$\overline{j(\mathfrak{a})} = j(\overline{\mathfrak{a}})$$

It is thus sufficient to find \mathfrak{a} such that $\overline{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{a}$, but indeed we may simply take $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{O}$.

proof of Theorem 3.1. The idea of the proof is to show that p is inert in a quadratic subextension of the totally real subfield N of F if and only if one of the conditions of the theorem holds.

To show this we must find a subextension of N defined by adjoining the square root of a positive integer which is not a square modulo p, in each of the following cases we describe how to find such a non-square. Note that if $q = 3 \pmod{4}$ then $\sqrt{-Dq} \in N$ whereas if $q = 1 \pmod{4}$ then $\sqrt{q} \in N$.

- Consider the case where $p = 1 \pmod{4}$ and 4||D. In this case there exists odd prime factor q' of D with $\left(\frac{-p}{q'}\right) = -1$. Moreover, D has a factor q such that both $\pm q$ are not squares mod p.
- Suppose there is an odd prime factor q of $D\mathfrak{f}$ with $\left(\frac{-p}{q}\right) = -1$.
 - if $q = p = 3 \pmod{4}$ we obtain $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = -1$ and thus -Dq is not a square mod p.

- if
$$q = 3 \pmod{4}$$
, $p = 1 \pmod{4}$ and $2 \not D$ we obtain $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = 1$ and thus $-Dq$ is not a square mod p .

- if $q = 1 \pmod{4}$ we obtain $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = -1$ and thus q and is not a square mod p.

- Suppose $p = 3 \pmod{8}$ and 8|D and $D/8 = 3 \pmod{4}$ then D has a factor d congruent to 3 (mod 4) which is not a square mod p.
- Suppose $p = 3 \pmod{8}$ and 8|D and $D/8 = 1 \pmod{4}$ then $\sqrt{2}$ is not a square mod p.

- Suppose $p = 3 \pmod{8}$ and $64|Df^2$ then $\sqrt{2}$ is not a square mod p.
- Suppose $p = 1 \pmod{4}$ and $16|Df^2$ then D has a factor q such that both $\pm q$ are not square mod p.

The above covers all of the cases of the theorem.

To prove the converse we remark that if p is inert in N it is inert in a quadratic subextension of one of the following types:

- $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q})$ where q|fD or
- $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q_1q_2})$ where both $q_1, q_2 = 3 \pmod{4}$ and $q_1q_2|fD$.

as such fields generate the genus field of N. Completing the proof follows a similar case analysis to the above. \Box

We now shift to discussing a phenomenon whereby certain \mathbb{F}_p reductions are disallowed based on the differing behavior of 2.

Remark 3.5. In the following theorem we will be distinguishing the supersingular *j*-invariants in \mathbb{F}_p by identifying them as roots of $P_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]}(X)$ or $P_{\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{-p})/2]}(X)$.

To understand the significance we recall the theorems above of Ibukiyama which asserted that this naturally divides the supersingular values into two almost disjoint sets. More precisely, we have that for $p = 3 \pmod{4}$ these polynomials factor as $(X - 1728) \prod_i (X - \alpha_i)^2$ whereas for $p = 1 \pmod{4}$ the factorization is $\prod_i (X - \alpha_i)^2$. In each case the α_i are distinct in \mathbb{F}_p . Furthermore, in the case $p = 3 \pmod{4}$ the α_i for $P_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]}(X)$ are distinct from those for $P_{\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{-p})/2]}(X)$. The polynomial for $\sqrt{-2}$ is precisely $P_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]}(X) = X - 8000$.

Theorem 3.6. Fix $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ of discriminant -D. Fix an order $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathfrak{f}\mathcal{O}_K$ of conductor $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and suppose that $\left(\frac{-D\mathfrak{f}^2}{p}\right) = -1$. Let j be a \mathbb{Z}_p root of $P_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$.

- If $p = 7 \pmod{4}$
 - If 2 is unramified in K and 2 if then j is a root of $P_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]}(X)$.
 - If 2 is unramified in K and 2|f but 8 //f then j is a root of $P_{\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{-p})/2]}(X)$.
 - If 2 is unramified in K and 8|f then j is a root of either $P_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]}(X)$ or $P_{\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{-p})/2]}(X)$.
 - If 2 is tamely ramified in K and 2 if or 4 if then j is a root of $P_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]}(X)$ or $P_{\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{-p})/2]}(X)$.
 - If 2 is tamely ramified in K and 2||f then j is a root of $P_{\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{-p})/2]}(X)$.
 - If 2 is wildly ramified in K and 2 if or 4 if then j is a root of $P_{\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{-p})/2]}(X)$.
 - If 2 is wildly ramified in K and $2|\mathfrak{f}$ or $4|\mathfrak{f}$ then j is a root of either $P_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]}(X)$ or $P_{\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{-p})/2]}(X)$.
- If $p = 3 \pmod{8}$
 - If 2 is unramified in K and 2 $||\mathfrak{f}|$ or $4||\mathfrak{f}|$ then j is a root of $P_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]}(X)$.
 - If 2 is unramified in K and 2||f then j is a root of $P_{\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{-p})/2]}(X)$.
 - If 2 is unramified in K and $8|\mathfrak{f}$ then there are no linear terms.
 - If 2 is tamely ramified in K and 2 $\not\mid f$ then j is a root of $P_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]}(X)$ or $P_{\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{-p})/2]}(X)$.
 - If 2 is tamely ramified in K and 2||f then j is a root of $P_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]}(X)$.
 - If 2 is tamely ramified in K and 4|f then there are no linear terms.
 - If 2 is wildly ramified in K then there are no linear terms.
- If $p = 1 \pmod{4}$
 - If 2 is unramified in K and 4 /f then j is a root of $P_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]}(X)$.
 - If 2 is unramified in K and 4|f then there are no linear terms.
 - If 2 is tamely ramified then there are no linear terms.
 - If 2 is wildly ramified in K and 2 if then j is a root of $P_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]}(X)$.
 - If 2 is wildly ramified in K and 2|f then there are no linear terms.

To prove this we will make use of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. If E is an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Z}_p with CM by \mathcal{O} which corresponds to a datum ($\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{B}$) then the Galois Frobenius Frob_p acting on $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p induces the endomorphism Frobenius Frob_p of \overline{E} . Moreover we have:

- Frob_p, the Galois action of Frobenius on E, acts on \mathcal{O} by $x \mapsto \overline{x}$.
- $\widetilde{\operatorname{Frob}}_p$, the endomorphism of \overline{E} , satisfies $\widetilde{\operatorname{Frob}}_p x = \overline{x} \widetilde{\operatorname{Frob}}_p$ for $x \in \mathcal{O}$.
- $\operatorname{Frob}_{p}^{2}$, the Galois action of Frobenius on E, commutes with \mathcal{O} .
- $\widetilde{\operatorname{Frob}}_p^2$, the endomorphism of \overline{E} , satisfies $\widetilde{\operatorname{Frob}}_p^2 = -p$.

In particular $\widetilde{\text{Frob}}_p \in \mathcal{O}^{\perp}$ is an element of norm p.

See [Sch10].

proof of Theorem 3.6. We must show, using the classification of maximal orders containing $\sqrt{-p}$ by Ibukiyama, that the only CM-orders in α^{\perp} are those satisfying the conditions of the theorem.

We note that in selecting the values of q, r and m we may assume by replacing r by r + aq that 8|r. With this assumption we have that $pq = m \pmod{8}$. When selecting q, r' and m' we must have that r' is odd, when $p = 3 \pmod{8}$ this implies that m is odd.

We observe the following important facts about α^{\perp} in the various cases:

- 1. For the maximal orders of the form O'(p,q,r',m') we have that α^{\perp} contains no elements with odd trace.
- 2. For the maximal orders of the form O'(p,q,r',m') we have that all primitive elements of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]^{\perp}$ are of the form:

$$y\beta + z\frac{(r'+\alpha)\beta}{2q}$$

for some choice of y and z coprime.

The square of such an element is:

$$-y^2q - z^2m - yzr'$$

Notice that if $p = 3 \pmod{8}$ this cannot be even.

3. For the maximal orders of the form O(p, q, r, m) we have that all primitive elements of odd trace in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]^{\perp}$ are of the form:

$$y\beta + z\frac{(r+\alpha)\beta}{q}$$

for some choice of y and z coprime, with z odd. The square of such an element is:

$$-y^2q - z^2m - 2yzr$$

modulo 8 this becomes:

$$-q(y^2 - z^2 p).$$

Notice that if this is odd, then y is even and $-q(y^2 - z^2p) = pq \pmod{8}$. Also, if it is even then y and z are both odd and it is divisible by $(1-p)| - q(y^2 - z^2p)$.

By considering each of the cases of the theorem, the above allows us to conclude the result. \Box

Proposition 3.8. Suppose there exists $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-D}] = \mathcal{O} \subset \alpha^{\perp}$, then $P_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ has \mathbb{Z}_p roots.

Proof. By the above argument we note that $\mathcal{O} \subset \alpha^{\perp}$ implies the existence of a solution to:

$$y^{2}q + z^{2}m + 2yzr = D$$
 or $y^{2}q + z^{2}m + yzr' = D$.

In the first case, multiplying by q we obtain:

$$qD = y^2q^2 + z^2(p+r^2) + 2yzrq = z^2p + (yq+rz)^2.$$

reducing modulo 8 and modulo all the odd prime factors of D we obtain the result. In the second case, multiplying by 4q we obtain:

$$4qD = 4y^2q^2 + z^2(p+r^2) + 2yzrq = z^2p + (2yq+rz)^2$$

and the result follows similarly.

Remark 3.9. Note that the above does not actually prove the converse to Lemma 3.7 though it would provide for an alternate proof for one direction of Theorem 3.1.

We now explain the phenomenon where in specific circumstances certain \mathbb{F}_p reductions always occur with the same frequency. Based on [CV07] we should expect that this is caused by systematic collections of isogenies (coming from Hecke relations), and in our case we should expect 2-isogenies to play a role.

Lemma 3.10. If $\sqrt{q_2} \in \alpha^{\perp}$ then $\mathcal{O} \simeq O(p, q_2, r, m)$ or $O(p, q_2, r', m')$ for some choice of r, m or r', m'.

Proof. By [Ibu82, Prop 2.1 and Rmk 2.2] the conditions:

$$q_1q_2 = z^2p + (yq_1 + rz)^2$$
 or $4q_1q_2 = z^2p + (2yq_1 + rz)^2$

imply that q_1 and q_2 satisfy $O(p, q_1, r_1, m_1) \simeq O(p, q_2, r_2, m_2)$ or respectively $O'(p, q_1, r'_1, m'_1) \simeq O'(p, q_2, r'_2, m'_2)$. The results then follow from the proof of Proposition 3.8.

Lemma 3.11. Fix $p = 3 \pmod{4}$. Fix $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ of discriminant -D. Fix an order $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathfrak{f}\mathcal{O}_K$ and suppose that $\left(\frac{-D\mathfrak{f}^2}{p}\right) = -1$. Suppose further that 2 is tamely ramified in K but 2 does not divide \mathfrak{f} .

Suppose that \mathcal{O} is optimally embedded in O(p,q,r,m) and contained in α^{\perp} . Let $\mathfrak{a}^2 = (2)$ in \mathcal{O} . Then $\mathfrak{a}O(p,q,r,m)\mathfrak{a}^{-1} \simeq O'(p,q,r',m')$ is a maximal order with an optimal embedding of \mathcal{O} . Consequently, if E is an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Z}_p with CM by \mathcal{O} whose reduction has endomorphism ring O(p,q,r,m), then the reduction of $\mathfrak{a} * E$ has endomorphism ring O'(p,q,r',m') with the exact same choice of q.

Conversely, if E is an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Z}_p with CM by \mathcal{O} whose reduction has endomorphism ring O'(p,q,r',m'), then the reduction of $\mathfrak{a} * E$ has endomorphism ring $O(p, \tilde{q}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{m})$ for some \tilde{q} such that $O'(p, q, r', m') \simeq O'(p, \tilde{q}, \tilde{r}', \tilde{m}')$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\gamma = \sqrt{q_2}]$. It suffices to show that $\mathfrak{a}O(p,q,r,m)\mathfrak{a}^{-1}$ contains both $\frac{1+\alpha}{2}$ and β .

We note that $\mathfrak{a} = (2, 1 + \gamma)$ and $\mathfrak{a}^{-1} = (1, \frac{1-\gamma}{2})$. It follows immediately that $\beta \in \mathfrak{aO}(p, q_1, r, m)\mathfrak{a}^{-1}$. Now we may write $\gamma = y\beta + z\frac{r+\alpha}{q}\beta$ with y and r even and z odd. Now, by observing that:

$$\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{2}\right) = (1+\gamma)\left(\frac{1}{2}(-zm+ry+1) + (zm+ry)\left(\frac{1+\beta}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}(yq+zr)\left(\frac{r+\alpha}{q}\beta\right)\right)\left(\frac{1-\gamma}{2}\right)$$

and that the right hand side is in $\mathfrak{a}O(p,q,r,m)\mathfrak{a}^{-1}$ we conclude by Lemma 3.10 that $\mathfrak{a}O(p,q,r,m)\mathfrak{a}^{-1} \simeq O'(p,q,r',m')$.

Now suppose we start with \mathcal{O} optimal in O'(p,q,r',m'). Attempting to reverse the above calculation cannot work in general as we no longer have r and m but r' and m'. However, we observe that:

$$\left((1+\gamma)\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1-\gamma}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1+\gamma^2}{4}\right)\alpha \right) \in \mathfrak{a}O'(p,q,r',m')\mathfrak{a}^{-1}$$

is perpendicular to α and has odd trace. Hence, $\mathfrak{a}O'(p,q,r',m')\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\simeq O(p,\tilde{q},\tilde{r},\tilde{m})$. The result now follows.

Remark 3.12. Note, that we could not simply run the first part of the above argument in the opposite direction to go from O'(p,q,r',m') to O(p,q,r,m), in particular this would be impossible in any case where the class groups which classify O'(p, q, r', m') and O(p, q, r, m) are not in bijection.

Theorem 3.13. Fix $p = 7 \pmod{4}$. Fix $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ of discriminant -D. Fix an order $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathfrak{f}\mathcal{O}_K$ and suppose that $\left(\frac{-D\mathfrak{f}^2}{p}\right) = -1$. Suppose further that 2 is tamely ramified in K but 2 does not divide \mathfrak{f} .

It we consider the set of supersingular values of \mathbb{F}_p except 1728, each *j*-invariant J has a partner \tilde{J} such that, the frequency of the appearance of X - J and $X - \tilde{J}$ as the reduction of irreducible linear factors of $P_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ modulo p is the same.

Proof. We first observe that if E is defined over \mathbb{Z}_p then so too is $\mathfrak{a} * E$. This follows by observing that the collection of endomorphisms in \mathfrak{a} is Galois stable. Moreover, in the case $p = 7 \pmod{4}$ the map from O(p,q,r,m)to O'(p,q,r',m') being injective implies it is bijective as the collections have the same size.

By Lemma 3.11 it now follows that O(p,q,r,m) and O'(p,q,r',m') must occur with the same frequency.

We note that j-invariant 1728 is the only one that can ever be identified with itself through this process, and in fact it must, because the class group has odd order. **Remark 3.14.** For $p = 3 \pmod{4}$ we obtain other less obvious relationships between the counts for maximal orders of type O' and of type O arising from the fact that the map is generically 3:1. In particular, in general the frequency for those of type O' is the sum of the frequencies of a specific collection of three of orders of type O. We note that there will be a curve which is 2-isogenous to the one with *j*-invariant 1728.

We should point out that the \mathbb{F}_p points of the 2-torsion is well understood, that there is a unique \mathbb{F}_p rational 2-torsion point is suggestive of the above results, but does not show that the association is between O(p, q, r, m) and O'(p, q, r', m') and certainly not that it 'respects q'.

Theorem 3.15. Fix $p = 1 \pmod{4}$. Fix $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ of discriminant -D. Fix an order $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathfrak{f}\mathcal{O}_K$ and suppose that $\left(\frac{-D\mathfrak{f}^2}{p}\right) = -1$. Suppose further that 2 is wildly ramified in K but 2 does not divide \mathfrak{f} .

It we consider the set of supersingular values of \mathbb{F}_p , each *j*-invariant *J* has a partner \tilde{J} such that, the frequency of the appearance of X - J and $X - \tilde{J}$ as the reduction of irreducible linear factors of $P_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ modulo *p* is the same. This partner \tilde{L} is independent of *K* and \mathcal{O} and dependence on *p*.

This partner J is independent of K and \mathcal{O} and depends only on p.

Proof. Set $\mathfrak{a}^2 = (2)$ in \mathcal{O} . In this case we have $\mathfrak{a} = (2, \gamma)$ and $\mathfrak{a}^{-1} = (1, \frac{1}{2}\overline{\gamma})$. As in the previous case, we must only show that $\mathfrak{a}O(p, q, r, m)\mathfrak{a}^{-1}$ is independent of \mathcal{O} .

Now set $\mathfrak{b}^2 = (2)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]$. We have that $\mathfrak{b} = (2, 1 + \alpha)$.

We recall that we have $\gamma = y\beta + z\frac{r+\alpha}{q}\beta = \frac{1}{q}(yq + zr + z\alpha)\beta$ with r even and both y and z odd.

We claim that $(1 + \alpha) \in \mathfrak{a}O(p, q, r, m)$. Indeed, as $\beta \in O(p, q, r, m)$ we have $yq + zr + z\alpha = \gamma\beta \in \mathfrak{a}O(p, q, r, m)$. Since $2 \in \mathfrak{a}$ the claim then follows immediately. Conversely, it is clear that $q\gamma \in \mathfrak{b}O(p, q, r, m)$. As q is odd, and $2 \in \mathfrak{b}$ we also have that $\gamma \in \mathfrak{b}O(p, q, r, m)$. We thus have shown that $\mathfrak{a}O(p, q, r, m) = \mathfrak{b}O(p, q, r, m)$.

It follows that $\mathfrak{a}O(p,q,r,m)\mathfrak{a}^{-1} = \mathfrak{b}O(p,q,r,m)\mathfrak{b}^{-1}$ is independent of \mathcal{O} .

Remark 3.16. In this case the uniqueness of the \mathbb{F}_p -rational 2-torsion points is sufficient to conclude the result.

4. Further Questions

Our results suggest the following natural questions:

Question 1. In Theorem 3.6 we gave necessary conditions for a datum ($\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{B}$) to correspond to an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Z}_p . Moreover, Proposition 3.8 gives the impression that this may be sufficient. It is natural to ask, if these conditions are in fact sufficient.

- (a) More precisely, given an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_p , and an endomorphism (defined over some extension) when can we lift the curve to \mathbb{Z}_p such that the endomorphism lifts to some extension?
- (b) Is it sufficient that the endomorphism be perpendicular to Frobenious in the endomorphism algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$?

A thorough answer to this question would shed light on the structure of $\mathbb{Z}[j(E_1), \ldots, j(E_n)]$ as remarked in the introduction.

Question 2. Theorems 3.13 and 3.15 give situations in which there are automatic relationships between certain roots of $P_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$. As remarked a simalar result holds for the same reason when $p = 3 \pmod{8}$.

- (a) It is natural to ask if there are other situations in such relationships must exist? In particular are there situations where the role of 2 can be replaced by some other prime?
- (b) The method of proof also suggests that we could anticipate relations between the roots of $P_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ between two different orders in the same field whose conductors differ by a factor of 2. Can the combinatorics of this be made more precise?

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Prof. Eyal Goren for suggesting the computations which led to the discovery of these results. I would like to thank Prof. Ernst Kani for some useful discussions as well as recommending several references. I would like to thank the many developers of SAGE without which the computations through which we uncovered these results would not be possible. I would also like to thank the SAGE Notebook project for the use of various computing resources which they have made available through their various funding sources.

Appendix A. Data

In this section we will present a representative sample of the data, which forms the basis for how we discovered the theorems. Similar computations have been done for all p up to 1000. All of these computation were performed in SAGE. Data not contained can be obtained from the author.

In all the data which follows, the frequencies presented represent the total number of times each factor appears as the reduction modulo p of an irreducible factor of $P_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p for one of the orders under consideration. We will consider several families of orders, but in all cases we are considering all orders in the described class with class numbers strictly less than 40 (and discriminant of the base field less than 10 million, noting that there are no fields of class number less than 100 with discriminant between 3 and 10 million).

Made precise, the appearance of the 199 in the first table indicates that there are exactly 199 different *j*-invariants congruent to 0 mod 71 for elliptic curves over \mathbb{Z}_{71} which admit CM by the maximal order of a quadratic imaginary field with odd class number less than 40 (and discriminant less than 10 million) in which 71 is inert. The 1 in the first table indicates that there is a unique *j*-invariant over \mathbb{Z}_{71} congruent to -23 modulo 71 for which the associated elliptic curve admits CM by the maximal order of a quadratic imaginary field with odd class number less than 40 (and discriminant less than 40 (and discriminant is that of a quadratic imaginary field with odd class number less than 40 (and discriminant less than 10 million) in which 71 is inert. We remark that this unique *j*-invariant is that of the curve with CM by $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$.

We should remark that ordering by class number is not ideal, in particular this appears to change the relative frequency of various congruence conditions. Heuristically this can be explained for example by noticing that if 2 ramifies, this will tend to double the size of the class group, whereas if 2 splits this will tend to make it much larger. That is the splitting and ramification of small primes tends to impact the size of the class group as it is precisely these primes which, by Minkowski theory, will be the generators. Moreover, adding factors of 2 to the conductor will typically double (or triple) the class number. The effect is that in the data which follows you should not try to compare data between columns without adjusting for the bias caused by the class number cutoffs.

We should note, it is entirely possible that the skew the class number ordering creates in the data is the only reason we were able to originally identify any of the underlying phenomenon we have discussed. In particular, considering parity conditions on the class number is likely entirely unnatural.

Appendix A.1. Data for p = 71

This data is typical for $p = 7 \pmod{8}$, the choices of 5 and 7 are arbitrary but demonstrate contrasting behavior.

	Odd Class Number	Even Class Number
x	199	531
x+5	188	557
x + 23	1	367
x + 30	171	587
x + 31	0	363
x + 47	88	447
x + 54	0	364
x^2	371	1506
$(x+5)^2$	1309	5216
$(x+23)^2$	1416	5307
$(x+30)^2$	1325	5171
$(x+31)^2$	1423	5254
$(x+47)^2$	654	2587
$(x+54)^2$	1381	5285

Maximal Orders With Odd Class Number Inert at p = 71 subject to parity condition on class number.

All Maximal Orders Innert at p = 71 subject to conditions on discriminants.

	All	2 /D	4 D	8 D	5 D	5 /D	7 D	7 ∦D
x	730	605	125		197	533	-	730
x+5	745	627	118	-	200	545	-	745
x + 23	368	-	118	250	97	271	-	368
x + 30	758	630	128	-	216	542	-	758
x + 31	363	-	125	238	93	270	-	363
x + 47	535	311	101	123	146	389	-	535
x + 54	364	-	128	236	101	263	-	364
x^2	1877	1325	244	308	242	1635	258	1619
$(x+5)^2$	6525	4711	868	946	968	5557	807	5718
$(x+23)^2$	6723	5033	868	822	1035	5688	804	5919
$(x+30)^2$	6496	4685	865	946	944	5552	806	5690
$(x+31)^2$	6677	5000	854	823	1025	5652	798	5879
$(x+47)^2$	3141	2315	411	415	445	2696	395	2746
$(x+54)^2$	6666	4984	857	825	1009	5657	816	5850

All Orders Inert at p = 71 subject to conditions on discriminants/conductors.

	All	$2 \not D$	$2 \not D$	$2 \not D$	$2 \not D$	2 /D	D	4 D	4 D	4 D	4 D	8 D	8 D	8 D	8 D	8 D	7 D f	7 ∦D f
	All	2 /f	2 f	4 f	8 f	16 f	2 /f	2 f	4 f	8 f	16 f	2 /f	2 f	$4 \mathfrak{f}$	8 f	16 f		
x	1109	806	-	-	18	5	158	-	17	4	3	-	73	16	5	4	-	1109
x + 5	1123	817	-	-	20	5	152	-	16	6	3	-	74	22	6	2	-	1123
x + 23	941	-	173	82	14	5	152	75	17	4	2	314	73	21	6	3	-	941
x + 30	1126	811	-	-	22	8	161	-	11	6	3	-	74	23	4	3	-	1126
x + 31	967	-	176	94	26	6	158	77	11	6	3	303	75	23	6	3	-	967
x + 47	1027	408	86	48	14	6	143	39	17	6	4	155	74	22	3	2	-	1027
x + 54	934	-	169	86	18	5	161	66	17	6	4	301	79	15	4	3	-	934
x ²	2981	1572	432	101	19	3	298	90	12	4	4	378	47	19	-	2	467	2514
$(x+5)^2$	10258	5675	1293	310	70	11	1078	267	55	16	16	1164	207	72	8	16	1447	8811
$(x + 23)^2$	10375	6106	1194	278	65	9	1086	229	60	15	14	1009	219	63	11	17	1427	8948
$(x + 30)^2$	10214	5661	1292	304	56	11	1068	271	60	15	12	1159	208	69	14	14	1418	8796
$(x + 31)^2$	10283	6052	1213	251	62	16	1062	236	61	20	12	1001	207	73	7	10	1414	8869
$(x + 47)^2$	4833	2787	598	134	28	4	499	118	26	11	6	509	78	28	-	7	721	4112
$(x + 54)^2$	10255	6042	1187	258	56	14	1065	235	54	14	17	1001	218	71	11	12	1450	8805

Appendix A.2. Data for p = 59

This data is typical for $p = 3 \pmod{8}$.

All Orders Inert at p = 59 subject to conditions on discriminants/conductors.

	All	$2 \not D$	2 / D	2 /D	$2 \not D$	$2 \not D$	4 D	D	4 D	4 D	4 D	8 D	8 D	8 D	8 D	8 D
	All	2 /f	2 f	4 f	8 f	16 f	2 ∦f	2 f	4 f	8 f	16 f	2 ∦f	2 f	4 f	8 f	16 f
x	1245	896	-	92	-	-	172	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
x + 11	1241	890	-	98	-	-	173	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-
x + 12	1236	890	-	97	-	-	167	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-
x + 31	1224	870	-	91	-	-	172	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-
x + 42	1146	440	285	40	-	-	336	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-
x + 44	1060	-	549	-	-	-	511	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-
$(x + 11)^2$	12375	6855	1574	325	103	29	1269	299	85	28	16	1389	297	92	12	2
$(x + 12)^2$	12241	6818	1537	319	98	27	1229	293	84	35	18	1371	306	93	10	3
$(x + 31)^2$	12274	6844	1544	324	90	27	1250	282	83	43	14	1381	292	83	12	5
$(x + 42)^2$	5910	3429	632	160	50	13	511	144	39	18	8	701	145	52	6	2
$(x + 44)^2$	12360	7250	1264	381	114	29	1066	329	80	32	14	1399	300	87	12	3
x^2	3692	1983	512	77	31	7	352	72	26	14	6	474	100	33	4	1

Appendix A.3. Data for p = 41

This data is typical for $p = 1 \pmod{4}$.

All Orders Inert at p = 41 subject to conditions on discriminants/conductors.

				1								/				
	All	2 / D	2 / D	2 / D	$2 \not D$	$2 \not D$	4 D	D	D	D	4 D	8 D	8 D	8 D	8 D	8 D
	All	2 /f	2 f	4 f	8 f	16 f	2 ∦f	2 f	4 f	8 f	16 f	2 ∦f	2 f	4 f	8 f	16 f
x	1488	1055	222	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	211	-	-	-	-
x + 9	1495	1068	220	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	207	-	-	-	-
x + 13	1491	1055	229	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	207	-	-	-	-
x + 38	1499	1065	223	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	211	-	-	- 1	-
x^2	5583	3036	665	184	46	13	675	146	37	8	2	560	146	45	13	7
$(x + 9)^2$	18184	10102	2215	557	143	48	2014	454	117	37	3	1877	434	135	33	15
$(x + 13)^2$	18218	10107	2199	582	133	52	2001	444	123	37	3	1906	443	132	43	13
$(x + 38)^2$	18173	10080	2205	583	138	47	2015	432	131	38	8	1871	437	128	47	13

Appendix A.4. Key Observations About Data

- In all of the data sets the frequency with which the roots appear appears to be equidistributed subject only to rescaling those *j* invariants for which the curves have automorphisms.
- The linear terms do not follow the same distribution as the underlying roots.
- It is not immediately clear if we restrict to maximal orders if the linear terms are equidistributed overall, however each family based on ramification at 2 appears to be, and if we reweigh (to correct bias caused by class number bounds) and regroup it is possible that the result is equidistribution.
- Specifying ramification conditions can have an effect on the presence or absence of linear factors.

- All families where we account for the behavior at 2 in the discriminant and conductor appears to satisfy a simple distribution.
 - Those *j*-invariants with automorphisms may or may not be rescaled depending on the case. More specifically j = 0 is never apparently rescaled, whereas j = 1728 may or may not be depending on discriminant and conductor.
 - Some *j*-invariants may be favored despite no extra automorphisms.
 - For example, j = -44 for p = 59 when 4||D| and 2 does not divide f.
 - For $p = 3 \pmod{4}$ there is a partitioning of *j*-invariants into two sets (with j = 1728 the common intersection) where the distribution selects for one set or the other based on the conditions on discriminants and conductors.
 - For $p = 7 \pmod{8}$, $4||D \pmod{2}|$ there is an apparent bijection between these two sets (excluding 1728) where the frequencies will be identical between the two sets.

Note: Within the data this actually happens on the level of individual orders.

- For $p = 1 \pmod{4}$, 8||D and 2 / f there is an apparent bijection between two sets where the frequencies will be identical between the two sets.

Note: Within the data this actually happens on the level of individual orders.

• Based on heuristic reasoning on the effect on class number of changing conductors by 2 and the apparent patterns and equidistribution in families one can reasonably expect equidistribution of the linear terms in the limit if we consider all conductors in a given field.

That is, we know the relative sizes of the exceptional sets and the effect on class numbers of increasing conductors by factors of 2.

References

- [CV05] C. Cornut and V. Vatsal, CM points and quaternion algebras, Doc. Math. 10 (2005), 263–309.
- [CV07] Christophe Cornut and Vinayak Vatsal, Nontriviality of Rankin-Selberg L-functions and CM points, Lfunctions and Galois representations, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 320, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007, pp. 121–186.
- [Deu41] Max Deuring, Die Typen der Multiplikatorenringe elliptischer Funktionenkörper, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 14 (1941), no. 1, 197–272.
- [Dor89] David R Dorman, Global orders in definite quaternion algebras as endomorphism rings for reduced cm elliptic curves, Théorie des nombres (Quebec, PQ, 1987) (1989), 108–116.
- [Ibu82] Tomoyoshi Ibukiyama, On maximal orders of division quaternion algebras over the rational number field with certain optimal embeddings, Nagoya Math. J. 88 (1982), 181–195.
- [JK11] Dimitar Jetchev and Ben Kane, Equidistribution of Heegner points and ternary quadratic forms, Math. Ann. **350** (2011), no. 3, 501–532.
- [Sch10] Reinhard Schertz, *Complex multiplication*, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 15, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.