Maximal Tori in G_2 and F_4

Andrew Fiori

Queen's University

April 2015

Andrew Fiori (Queen's University)

Maximal Tori in G_2 and F_4

April 2015 1 / 18

Throughout this talk k will be a field and \overline{k} its algebraic closure. (Some statements have not been checked in characteristic 2.)

If we want to understand the category of reductive groups over k, a natural question to ask is: Given a triple:

$$(ilde{H}, ilde{G}, ilde{f}: ilde{H} o ilde{G})$$

all defined over \overline{k} can we describe all of the triples

$$(H, G, f: H \rightarrow G)$$

over k which become equivalent to the first over \overline{k} .

In this talk we will be primarily motivated by the case of maximal tori in groups of type G_2 or F_4 , that is:

$$T \rightarrow G$$

for T a maximal torus and G a group of type G_2 (resp. F_4).

In this talk we will be primarily motivated by the case of maximal tori in groups of type G_2 or F_4 , that is:

$$T \rightarrow G$$

for T a maximal torus and G a group of type G_2 (resp. F_4).

However, we will study this problem by looking at several other cases, namely:

$$T
ightarrow H$$
 and $H
ightarrow G$

where T is still a maximal torus, G is type G_2 (resp. F_4) and now H is a group of type A_2 (resp. D_4). These will fit together to give a picture:

$$T \to H \to G$$
.

The reason this is natural is the following well-known result:

Theorem

Let G be a group of type G_2 (resp. F_4) then every maximal torus T of G factors through a unique simply connected subgroup H of G of type A_2 (resp. D_4). Moreover, rational conjugacy classes of T in G are in bijection with pairs $(\overline{T}, \overline{H})$ where \overline{H} is a rational conjugacy class of H in G and T is a rational conjugacy class of T in H. The reason this is natural is the following well-known result:

Theorem

Let G be a group of type G_2 (resp. F_4) then every maximal torus T of G factors through a unique simply connected subgroup H of G of type A_2 (resp. D_4). Moreover, rational conjugacy classes of T in G are in bijection with pairs $(\overline{T}, \overline{H})$ where \overline{H} is a rational conjugacy class of H in G and T is a rational conjugacy class of T in H.

Proof.

The proof of this is "simple:" given T, take H to be the long root subgroup of G.

The difficulty with this proof is that it tells us virtually nothing about how to find H, understand the conjugacy classes, or which forms of these groups might even appear at all.

To concretely understand these subgroups H, we will first need to actually understand G.

Each group G under consideration is the automorphism group of a certain non-associative algebra which we shall denote by J in either case.

- In the case of G_2 , the algebra J is an octonion algebra. In particular, it is rank 8, non-commutative, non-associative, and alternative.
- In the case of F₄, the algebra J is an exceptional Jordan algebra (Albert algebra). It is rank 27, non-associative, and commutative.

Given a maximal k-torus T in G, it acts on J and we gives the following k-rational decomposition:

 $J = L \oplus M$

where T acts trivially on L and M has no T-fixed vectors. Multiplication in J and projection lets us define 4 maps:

Given a maximal k-torus T in G, it acts on J and we gives the following k-rational decomposition:

 $J = L \oplus M$

where T acts trivially on L and M has no T-fixed vectors. Multiplication in J and projection lets us define 4 maps:

- $L \times L \to L \qquad L \times M \to M \qquad M \times M \to L \qquad M \times M \to M.$
- The multiplication on *L* makes it an étale subalgebra of *J*; it is rank 2 (resp. 3).

Given a maximal k-torus T in G, it acts on J and we gives the following k-rational decomposition:

 $J = L \oplus M$

where T acts trivially on L and M has no T-fixed vectors. Multiplication in J and projection lets us define 4 maps:

- The multiplication on *L* makes it an étale subalgebra of *J*; it is rank 2 (resp. 3).
- The action of *L* on *M* gives it a canonical *L*-module structure (resp. allows us to give it a canonical one).

Given a maximal k-torus T in G, it acts on J and we gives the following k-rational decomposition:

$$J = L \oplus M$$

where T acts trivially on L and M has no T-fixed vectors. Multiplication in J and projection lets us define 4 maps:

- The multiplication on *L* makes it an étale subalgebra of *J*; it is rank 2 (resp. 3).
- The action of *L* on *M* gives it a canonical *L*-module structure (resp. allows us to give it a canonical one).
- The *L*-valued pairing on *M* gives an *L*-Hermitian bilinear form (resp. allows us to define a symmetric *L*-bilinear form).

Given a maximal k-torus T in G, it acts on J and we gives the following k-rational decomposition:

$$J = L \oplus M$$

where T acts trivially on L and M has no T-fixed vectors. Multiplication in J and projection lets us define 4 maps:

- The multiplication on *L* makes it an étale subalgebra of *J*; it is rank 2 (resp. 3).
- The action of *L* on *M* gives it a canonical *L*-module structure (resp. allows us to give it a canonical one).
- The *L*-valued pairing on *M* gives an *L*-Hermitian bilinear form (resp. allows us to define a symmetric *L*-bilinear form).
- The multiplication on M defines an L-determinant (resp. gives the quadratic space M/L the structure of a twisted composition over L, i.e. if Q is the associated quadratic form β is a map such that $Q(x)Q(\beta(x,x)) = N_{L/k}(Q(x))$ and $\lambda\beta(\lambda x, \lambda x) = N_{L/k}(\lambda)\beta(x, x)$).

We have just shown that

$$T \subset \operatorname{Aut}(L, J)^0 \subset H$$

where H is the automorphism group of the Hermitian space (resp. of the twisted composition). Since these automorphisms groups are of type A_2 (resp. D_4) these are the same groups as obtained previously.

We have just shown that

$$T \subset \operatorname{Aut}(L,J)^0 \subset H$$

where H is the automorphism group of the Hermitian space (resp. of the twisted composition). Since these automorphisms groups are of type A_2 (resp. D_4) these are the same groups as obtained previously.

Conveniently, this construction can be reversed. Given an étale algebra L of rank 2 (resp. 3) and maps:

$$L \times M \to M$$
 $M \times M \to L$ $M \times M \to M$

which come from an *L*-Hermitian space of trivial discriminant (resp. come from a twisted composition over *L*) we can define an algebra $J' = L \oplus M$.

It follows immediately that

Theorem

The set of triples $(H, G, f : H \to G)$ for H of type A_2 (resp. D_4) and G of type G_2 (resp. D_4) is in bijection with the collection of all triples (L, V, Q) (resp. (L, V, Q, β)) consisting of a quadratic étale algebra and a rank 3 Hermitian space of trivial discriminant (resp. a cubic étale algebra and a twisted composition over it).

It follows immediately that

Theorem

The set of triples $(H, G, f : H \to G)$ for H of type A_2 (resp. D_4) and G of type G_2 (resp. D_4) is in bijection with the collection of all triples (L, V, Q) (resp. (L, V, Q, β)) consisting of a quadratic étale algebra and a rank 3 Hermitian space of trivial discriminant (resp. a cubic étale algebra and a twisted composition over it).

To study the collection of H for a fixed G, we must ask for which of these data (L, V, Q) (resp. (L, V, Q, β)) we reconstruct the original J. For local fields and global fields this is very easy to do. For more general fields, the condition $L \hookrightarrow J$ is clear, but there are higher cohomological invariants to consider. (This has been done for G_2 (Hooda) but not F_4 (as far as I know)).

< < p>< < p>

Maximal Tori in These Groups.

We actually know a great deal about classifying maximal tori in classical groups. If $H(R) = \{g \in A^{\times} | g\tau(g) = 1\}$ (where (A, τ) is a central simple algebra with involution) then

$$T \hookrightarrow H \qquad \leftrightarrow \qquad (E,\sigma) \to (A,\tau)$$

and we can write:

$$T(R) = T_{E,\sigma}(R) = \{g \in (E \otimes_k R)^{\times} \mid g\sigma(g) = 1\}.$$

Maximal Tori in These Groups.

We actually know a great deal about classifying maximal tori in classical groups. If $H(R) = \{g \in A^{\times} | g\tau(g) = 1\}$ (where (A, τ) is a central simple algebra with involution) then

$$T \hookrightarrow H \qquad \leftrightarrow \qquad (E,\sigma) \to (A,\tau)$$

and we can write:

$$T(R) = T_{E,\sigma}(R) = \{g \in (E \otimes_k R)^{\times} \mid g\sigma(g) = 1\}.$$

The above, (together with a study of the embeddings(E, σ) \rightarrow (A, τ)) means we essentially understand the classical case, which includes the A_2 case.

Maximal Tori in These Groups.

We actually know a great deal about classifying maximal tori in classical groups. If $H(R) = \{g \in A^{\times} | g\tau(g) = 1\}$ (where (A, τ) is a central simple algebra with involution) then

$$T \hookrightarrow H \qquad \leftrightarrow \qquad (E,\sigma) \to (A,\tau)$$

and we can write:

$$T(R) = T_{E,\sigma}(R) = \{g \in (E \otimes_k R)^{\times} \mid g\sigma(g) = 1\}.$$

The above, (together with a study of the embeddings(E, σ) \rightarrow (A, τ)) means we essentially understand the classical case, which includes the A_2 case.

Unfortunately, D_4 isn't classical for two reasons:

- We need Spin₈ rather than SO₈, which does not directly come from the (A, τ) construction.
- **2** We need to understand certain triality forms of D_4 .

If we are dealing with an H which is a non-triality spin group, even thought H isn't defined by some (A, τ) in the same way as classical groups, there are in fact two different ways to get a central simple algebra with involution out of it: If we are dealing with an H which is a non-triality spin group, even thought H isn't defined by some (A, τ) in the same way as classical groups, there are in fact two different ways to get a central simple algebra with involution out of it:

Firstly, it has have a quotient which does corresponds to an (A, τ); the orthogonal group.
 Consequently, given T ⊂ H we obtain its image T' ⊂ O(A, τ) and we have T' ↔ (E, σ) ⊂ (A, τ). Moreover, there is a surjective map T → T_{E,σ} = T'.

If we are dealing with an H which is a non-triality spin group, even thought H isn't defined by some (A, τ) in the same way as classical groups, there are in fact two different ways to get a central simple algebra with involution out of it:

- Firstly, it has have a quotient which does corresponds to an (A, τ); the orthogonal group.
 Consequently, given T ⊂ H we obtain its image T' ⊂ O(A, τ) and we have T' ↔ (E, σ) ⊂ (A, τ). Moreover, there is a surjective map T → T_{E,σ} = T'.
- Secondly, the even Clifford algebra (C, τ) of (A, τ) actually contains H.

Consequently, we have $T \to H \to \mathrm{SU}(C, \tau)$ and there is a unique maximal torus of $\mathrm{SU}(C, \tau)$ which contains T. We obtain another algebra (\tilde{E}, σ) and we have $T \subset T_{\tilde{E}, \sigma}$.

Tori in the orthogonal group should correspond to tori in the spin group. Thus E should relate to \tilde{E} , but how?

Tori in the orthogonal group should correspond to tori in the spin group. Thus E should relate to \tilde{E} , but how?

Recall that there is a bijection between étale algebras and finite sets with a Galois action, so to specify E is to specify a Galois set:

 $E \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(E, \overline{k}).$

In general, any finite Galois set defines an étale algebra.

Tori in the orthogonal group should correspond to tori in the spin group. Thus E should relate to \tilde{E} , but how?

Recall that there is a bijection between étale algebras and finite sets with a Galois action, so to specify E is to specify a Galois set:

 $E \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(E, \overline{k}).$

In general, any finite Galois set defines an étale algebra.

Let us make a definition: Given (E, σ) , we say that $\phi \subset \operatorname{Hom}(E, \overline{k})$ is a σ -reflex type if: $\operatorname{Hom}(E, \overline{k}) = \phi \sqcup \sigma(\phi)$. Let $\Phi = \{\phi \mid \sigma - \text{reflex type of } E\}$. Then Φ is a Galois set with a canonical involution, and we obtain (E^{Φ}, σ) .

One of the results from my thesis was $(\tilde{E}, \sigma) \simeq (E^{\Phi}, \sigma)$, that is, if (E, σ) is associated to a torus in an orthogonal group, the canonical algebra (E^{Φ}, σ) is the only possible thing which can be associated to a corresponding torus in the spin group! I can say a bit more about (E^{Φ}, σ) :

One of the results from my thesis was $(\tilde{E}, \sigma) \simeq (E^{\Phi}, \sigma)$, that is, if (E, σ) is associated to a torus in an orthogonal group, the canonical algebra (E^{Φ}, σ) is the only possible thing which can be associated to a corresponding torus in the spin group! I can say a bit more about (E^{Φ}, σ) :

 E^Φ is an algebra over the center of the even Clifford algebra for any (A, τ) containing (E, σ).

One of the results from my thesis was $(\tilde{E}, \sigma) \simeq (E^{\Phi}, \sigma)$, that is, if (E, σ) is associated to a torus in an orthogonal group, the canonical algebra (E^{Φ}, σ) is the only possible thing which can be associated to a corresponding torus in the spin group! I can say a bit more about (E^{Φ}, σ) :

- E^Φ is an algebra over the center of the even Clifford algebra for any (A, τ) containing (E, σ).
- The embedding $E^{\Phi} \rightarrow (C, \tau)$ is an embedding over this center, and gives a maximal étale subalgebra.

One of the results from my thesis was $(\tilde{E}, \sigma) \simeq (E^{\Phi}, \sigma)$, that is, if (E, σ) is associated to a torus in an orthogonal group, the canonical algebra (E^{Φ}, σ) is the only possible thing which can be associated to a corresponding torus in the spin group! I can say a bit more about (E^{Φ}, σ) :

- E^Φ is an algebra over the center of the even Clifford algebra for any (A, τ) containing (E, σ).
- The embedding $E^{\Phi} \rightarrow (C, \tau)$ is an embedding over this center, and gives a maximal étale subalgebra.
- The existence of an embedding (E^Φ, σ) → (C, τ) over the center implies Hasse invariant and discriminant conditions for the existence of an embedding (E, σ) → (A, τ).

One of the results from my thesis was $(\tilde{E}, \sigma) \simeq (E^{\Phi}, \sigma)$, that is, if (E, σ) is associated to a torus in an orthogonal group, the canonical algebra (E^{Φ}, σ) is the only possible thing which can be associated to a corresponding torus in the spin group! I can say a bit more about (E^{Φ}, σ) :

- E^Φ is an algebra over the center of the even Clifford algebra for any (A, τ) containing (E, σ).
- The embedding $E^{\Phi} \rightarrow (C, \tau)$ is an embedding over this center, and gives a maximal étale subalgebra.
- The existence of an embedding (E^Φ, σ) → (C, τ) over the center implies Hasse invariant and discriminant conditions for the existence of an embedding (E, σ) → (A, τ).
- There exists a canonical multiplicative map $N_{E/E^{\Phi}}: E \to E^{\Phi}$, whose restriction (almost) gives a section of the map from the spin group to the orthogonal group in that it maps the torus in the orthogonal group to its preimage in the spin group.

Andrew Fiori (Queen's University)

We can understand the image of $N_{E/E^{\Phi}}: E \to E^{\Phi}$ as follows. There is a natural multiplicative map:

$$\Psi: E^{\Phi} \to E \otimes_k E^{\Phi}$$

such that $\Psi \circ N_{E/E^{\Phi}}$ takes x to $x^2 \otimes 1$. Moreover, Ψ naturally restricts to give the covering map from the spin group to the orthogonal group.

We can understand the image of $N_{E/E^{\Phi}}: E \to E^{\Phi}$ as follows. There is a natural multiplicative map:

$$\Psi: E^{\Phi} \to E \otimes_k E^{\Phi}$$

such that $\Psi \circ N_{E/E^{\Phi}}$ takes x to $x^2 \otimes 1$. Moreover, Ψ naturally restricts to give the covering map from the spin group to the orthogonal group.

In particular, we can describe all the possible tori in (non-triality) spin groups:

$$T(R) = \{(g_1, g_2) \in ((E \oplus E^{\Phi}) \otimes R)^{\times} \mid g_i \sigma(g_i) = 1 \text{ and } \Psi(g_2) = g_1\}.$$

We can understand the image of $N_{E/E^{\Phi}}: E \to E^{\Phi}$ as follows. There is a natural multiplicative map:

$$\Psi: E^{\Phi} \to E \otimes_k E^{\Phi}$$

such that $\Psi \circ N_{E/E^{\Phi}}$ takes x to $x^2 \otimes 1$. Moreover, Ψ naturally restricts to give the covering map from the spin group to the orthogonal group.

In particular, we can describe all the possible tori in (non-triality) spin groups:

$$T(R) = \{(g_1, g_2) \in ((E \oplus E^{\Phi}) \otimes R)^{\times} \mid g_i \sigma(g_i) = 1 \text{ and } \Psi(g_2) = g_1\}.$$

Understanding which $(E, \sigma, E^{\Phi}, \sigma)$ can correspond to a torus in a given spin group reduces to the question for orthogonal groups.

Triality Groups

We are interested in triality groups attached to (L, V, Q, β) for L a cubic étale algebra, (V, Q) a quadratic space, and β a twisted composition. We have the following two ways to attach (E, σ) to tori in these groups:

• Firstly,

$$\operatorname{Spin}(L, V, Q, \beta) \to \operatorname{Res}_{L/k}(O(V, Q)).$$

Hence, tori in spin give tori in O(V, Q) which give (E, σ) an étale algebra over L.

Triality Groups

We are interested in triality groups attached to (L, V, Q, β) for L a cubic étale algebra, (V, Q) a quadratic space, and β a twisted composition. We have the following two ways to attach (E, σ) to tori in these groups:

• Firstly,

$$\operatorname{Spin}(L, V, Q, \beta) \to \operatorname{Res}_{L/k}(O(V, Q)).$$

Hence, tori in spin give tori in O(V, Q) which give (E, σ) an étale algebra over L.

Secondly, after base change to L we obtain the "classical" spin group associated to (V, Q). Moreover, as a representation, V ⊗_k L = V ⊕ S decomposes as a direct sum of the vector representation and the spin representation. Thus, given a torus in Spin(L, V, Q, β)_L, we obtain

 $(E, \sigma) \times (E^{\Phi}, \sigma)$, where (E, σ) is an étale algebra over L.

Triality Groups

We are interested in triality groups attached to (L, V, Q, β) for L a cubic étale algebra, (V, Q) a quadratic space, and β a twisted composition. We have the following two ways to attach (E, σ) to tori in these groups:

• Firstly,

$$\operatorname{Spin}(L, V, Q, \beta) \to \operatorname{Res}_{L/k}(O(V, Q)).$$

Hence, tori in spin give tori in O(V, Q) which give (E, σ) an étale algebra over L.

• Secondly, after base change to L we obtain the "classical" spin group associated to (V, Q). Moreover, as a representation, $V \otimes_k L = V \oplus S$ decomposes as a direct sum of the vector representation and the spin representation.

Thus, given a torus in $\text{Spin}(L, V, Q, \beta)_L$, we obtain $(E, \sigma) \times (E^{\Phi}, \sigma)$, where (E, σ) is an étale algebra over L.

It seems there is a conflict in our notation, the theorem on the next slide will resolve this.

Andrew Fiori (Queen's University)

Tori in Triality Groups

Note: proofs for these results have only been sketched.

Theorem

Consider a twisted composition (L, V, Q, β) , and a torus T in Spin (L, V, Q, β) . Then T is associated to (E, σ) , an étale algebra with involution over L, arising from a torus of O(V, Q). Moreover, (E, σ) satisfies $(E, \sigma) \otimes L \simeq (E, \sigma) \oplus (E^{\Phi}, \sigma)$.

Tori in Triality Groups

Note: proofs for these results have only been sketched.

Theorem

Consider a twisted composition (L, V, Q, β) , and a torus T in Spin (L, V, Q, β) . Then T is associated to (E, σ) , an étale algebra with involution over L, arising from a torus of O(V, Q). Moreover, (E, σ) satisfies $(E, \sigma) \otimes L \simeq (E, \sigma) \oplus (E^{\Phi}, \sigma)$.

Theorem

Given (L, V, Q) for which β exists, suppose $T_{E,\sigma}$ is a torus of O(V, Q) and that $(E, \sigma) \otimes L \simeq (E, \sigma) \oplus (E^{\Phi}, \sigma)$. One can construct β such that (E, σ) will be associated to a torus in $\text{Spin}(L, V, Q, \beta)$.

Tori in Triality Groups

Note: proofs for these results have only been sketched.

Theorem

Consider a twisted composition (L, V, Q, β) , and a torus T in Spin (L, V, Q, β) . Then T is associated to (E, σ) , an étale algebra with involution over L, arising from a torus of O(V, Q). Moreover, (E, σ) satisfies $(E, \sigma) \otimes L \simeq (E, \sigma) \oplus (E^{\Phi}, \sigma)$.

Theorem

Given (L, V, Q) for which β exists, suppose $T_{E,\sigma}$ is a torus of O(V, Q) and that $(E, \sigma) \otimes L \simeq (E, \sigma) \oplus (E^{\Phi}, \sigma)$. One can construct β such that (E, σ) will be associated to a torus in $\text{Spin}(L, V, Q, \beta)$.

One should be able to describe all possible β for any given (E, σ) . For local/global fields this is straightforward; for more general fields I do not have a solid grasp on the classification of twisted compositions.

Andrew Fiori (Queen's University)

Not all triality groups are from twisted compositions. The others come from data (L, A, τ, β) , and analogous theorems should still hold.

Not all triality groups are from twisted compositions. The others come from data (L, A, τ, β) , and analogous theorems should still hold.

With all we have discussed today we obtain a fairly complete picture of the structure of tori in all these groups. In particular we hopefully now have have a much better understanding of the diagrams:

$$T \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow G_2$$

and

$$T \rightarrow D_4 \rightarrow F_4$$

The End.

Thank you.

Andrew Fiori (Queen's University)

Maximal Tori in G_2 and F_4

▲ ■ ▶ ■ つへで April 2015 18 / 18

• • • • • • • •

.∃ >