

Non-Vanishing of Weight k Modular L -Functions with Large Level

Amir Akbary

Abstract

We will establish lower bounds in terms of the level for the number of holomorphic cusp forms of weight $k > 2$ whose various L -functions do not vanish at the central critical point. This work generalizes the work of W. Duke [1] which was for the case of weight 2.

1 Introduction

In this paper we study the non-vanishing of the L -function associated to a cusp form of weight k and level N . More precisely, let \mathcal{F}_N be the set of all holomorphic (cuspidal) normalized newforms of weight k and level N . For $f \in \mathcal{F}_N$ and a primitive Dirichlet character mod q with $(q, N) = 1$, the twisted L -function associated to f and χ is defined by

$$L_f(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)a_f(n)}{n^s}.$$

The twisted L -function is given by an absolutely convergent series on the half-plane $Re(s) > \frac{k+1}{2}$ and has an Euler product valid there. Also it has an analytic continuation which satisfies a certain functional equation for which $s = \frac{k}{2}$ is the centre of the critical strip. In this context one may attempt the following problem:

Problem: *What can we say about $\#\{f \in \mathcal{F}_N; L_f(\frac{k}{2}, \chi) \neq 0\}$ if N is large?*

One known result concerning this problem is given by W. Duke [1] for the case $k = 2$. By comparing mean and mean square estimate for the twisted L -function $L_f(s, \chi)$ attached to a newform f of weight 2, Duke proved that there is a positive absolute constant C and a constant C_q depending only on q such that for any prime $N > C_q$ there are at least $CN(\log N)^{-2}$ newforms $f \in \mathcal{F}_N$ for which $L_f(1, \chi) \neq 0$.

The main difficulty in the generalization of the above result to the cusp forms of weight k is the contribution coming from oldforms of weight k . In this paper, by using a special

⁰1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F67.

construction of a basis for the space of cusp forms of weight k and level N , introduced by A. Pizer [5], We show that the contribution of oldforms is negligible, and therefore we obtain a generalization of Duke's result to newforms of weight k and level N . More precisely, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1 *Suppose that χ is a fixed primitive Dirichlet character mod q such that $(q, N) = 1$. Then there are positive constants C_k (depending only on k) and $C_{q,k}$ (depending only on q and k) such that for prime $N > C_{q,k}$ there exist at least $C_k N (\log N)^{-2}$ newforms f of weight k and level N for which $L_f(\frac{k}{2}, \chi) \neq 0$.*

We also prove the following theorem about the non-vanishing of the product of two distinct twist of a modular L -function, which is again a generalization of a result of W. Duke ([1] Theorem 2).

Theorem 2 *Let $k > 2$ and $\chi_1 \pmod{q_1}$ and $\chi_2 \pmod{q_2}$ be fixed distinct real primitive Dirichlet characters such that $\chi_1 \chi_2(-N) = 1$. Then there are positive constants C_1 and C_2 depending only on $q_1 q_2$ and k such that for prime $N > C_1$ there exist at least $C_2 N (\log N)^{-6}$ newforms f of weight k and level N for which $L_f(\frac{k}{2}, \chi_1) L_f(\frac{k}{2}, \chi_2) \neq 0$.*

The main technical tool in the proof of these results is the ‘‘semi-orthogonality’’ of the Fourier coefficients of an orthonormal basis of $S_k(N)$ (Proposition 1) which is a consequence of the Petersson formulae about Poincaré series. Section 2 describe this technical tool and also introduces a certain basis of the space of cusp forms which has been studied by Pizer. In Sections 3 and 4 we prove mean and mean square estimate for the twisted L -function attached to an element of the basis introduced in Section 2. Using these estimates and establishing a lower bound for the Petersson inner product in Section 5, we will be able to prove Theorem 1. Section 6 describes a proof of Theorem 2.

2 A basis for $S_k(N)$

We review some basic facts concerning modular forms. Let $S_k(N)$ be the space of cusp forms of weight k for $\Gamma_0(N)$ with trivial character. The space $S_k(N)$ is a finite dimensional complex vector space. Moreover, one can define an inner product called Petersson inner product on $S_k(N)$ by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathcal{H}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} y^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$$

where \mathcal{H} denotes the upper half plane. For $0 \neq f \in S_k(N)$ set

$$\omega_f = \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^{k-1} \langle f, f \rangle}.$$

If $f \in S_k(N)$, we write the Fourier expansion of f at $i\infty$ as

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_f(n) e(nz).$$

The following proposition explains the so called “semi-orthogonality” of the Fourier coefficients of an orthogonal basis of $S_k(N)$.

Proposition 1 *If $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$ is an orthogonal basis for $S_k(N)$, for m and n positive integers we have the inequality*

$$\left| \sum_i \omega_{f_i} \frac{a_{f_i}(m)}{\sqrt{m^{k-1}}} \frac{a_{f_i}(n)}{\sqrt{n^{k-1}}} - \delta_{mn} \right| \leq M \mathbf{d}(N) N^{\frac{1}{2}-k} (m, n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{(mn)^{k-1}}$$

where M is a constant depending only on k and $\mathbf{d}(N)$ is the number of divisor of N .

Proof: See [1] Lemma 1. \square

We are going to generalize Duke’s result to cusp forms of weight k and prime level N (see [1], Theorem 1). The first difficulty that we encounter is that \mathcal{F}_N is not a basis for $S_k(N)$ when k is large (more precisely if $k > 12$ and $k \neq 14$). So we must find a basis for $S_k(N)$ with good analytic properties. A theorem of Pizer guarantees the existence of such basis for $S_k(N)$.

Given a two by two real matrix $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ with positive determinant, define its action on a modular form f of weight k to be

$$(f|\gamma)(z) = (\det \gamma)^{\frac{k}{2}} (cz + d)^{-k} f\left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}\right).$$

Now let $\{T_p (p \nmid N), U_q (q|N)\}$ be the collection of the classical Hecke operators and let $W_q (q|N)$ be the “ W operator” of Atkin and Lehner . In 1983 A. Pizer introduced the operators C_q on $S_k(N)$ for $q|N$, such that the action of C_q on the new part of $S_k(N)$ is the same as the action of the classical U_q operators. More precisely he defined C_q as

$$C_q = U_q + W_q U_q W_q + q^{\frac{k}{2}-1} W_q \quad \text{if } q \nmid N$$

$$C_q = U_q + W_q U_q W_q \quad \text{if } q^2 | N.$$

then he showed that $T_p (p \nmid N)$, $C_q (q|N)$ form a commuting family of Hermitian operators. Using this, he proved ([5] Theorem 3.10) the following result.

Theorem *There exists a basis $f_i(z)$ ($1 \leq i \leq \dim S_k(N)$) of $S_k(N)$ such that each $f_i(z)$ is an eigenform for all the T_p and C_q operators with $p \nmid N$ and $q|N$. Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_f(n) e(z)$ be an element of this basis. Then $a_f(1) \neq 0$ and assuming $f(z)$ is normalized so that $a_f(1) = 1$, we have $f|T_p = a_f(p)f$ for all $p \nmid N$, $f|C_q = a_f(q)f$ for all $q|N$, and $a_f(nm) = a_f(n)a_f(m)$ whenever $(n, m) = 1$. Furthermore $f(z)$ is an eigenform for all W_q operators, $q|N$. Finally, if $g(z) \in S_k(N)$ is an eigenform for all the T_p and C_q operators with $p \nmid N$ and $q|N$, then $g(z) = c f_i(z)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and some unique i , $1 \leq i \leq \dim S_k(N)$.*

Now let \mathcal{P}_N be the basis of $S_k(N)$ given by the above theorem. The elements of \mathcal{P}_N form an orthogonal basis for $S_k(N)$ and their L -functions have analytic continuation and satisfy certain functional equations. We can show that the action of C_q on $S_k(N)^{new}$ is the same as the action of U_q (see [5] Remark 2.9). This shows that $\mathcal{F}_N \subset \mathcal{P}_N$.

In the sequel we need an estimation for the Fourier coefficient of an oldform in \mathcal{P}_N .

Lemma 1 *Suppose N is a prime and $f \in \mathcal{P}_N$. Then*

$$|a_f(n)| \leq c_0 n^{\frac{k}{2}}$$

where c_0 is an absolute constant independent of f .

Proof: If $f \in \mathcal{F}_N$ we know that $|a_f(n)| \leq \mathbf{d}(n)n^{\frac{k-1}{2}}$ (Deligne's bound) and therefore the result is clear.

If $f \in \mathcal{P}_N - \mathcal{F}_N$ Propositions 3.6 and 3.4 of [5] imply that

$$f(z) = h(z) \pm N^{\frac{k}{2}} h(Nz)$$

where h is the normalized newform of weight k and level 1 associated to f . Now if $(n, N) = 1$ then $a_f(n) = c_h(n)$ where $c_h(N)$ is the N -th Fourier coefficient of h , and therefore the Deligne bound implies the result, and if $(n, N) \neq 1$ then $n = mN$ and we can write

$$a_f(Nm) = c_h(Nm) + A c_h(m).$$

By using the Deligne bound for the Fourier coefficients of h we get

$$\begin{aligned} |a_f(Nm)| &\leq \mathbf{d}(Nm)(Nm)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} + N^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathbf{d}(m)m^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{\mathbf{d}(Nm)}{(Nm)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\mathbf{d}(m)}{m^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) (Nm)^{\frac{k}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows from the fact that $\mathbf{d}(n) = O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$ with an absolute constant. \square

3 First moments

In this section we will find an asymptotic formula for $\sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f L_f(\frac{k}{2}, \chi)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{P}_N$, then since it is an eigenform for the Atkin-Lehner involution, the twisted L -function $L_f(s, \chi)$ is known to be entire and to satisfy the functional equation

$$\left(\frac{q\sqrt{N}}{2\pi} \right)^s \Gamma(s) L_f(s, \chi) = \epsilon_\chi \left(\frac{q\sqrt{N}}{2\pi} \right)^{k-s} \Gamma(k-s) L_f(k-s, \bar{\chi})$$

where $\epsilon_\chi = \epsilon_f \chi(N) \tau(\chi)^2 q^{-1}$ with $\epsilon_f = \pm 1$ (the root number of f) which depends only on f and $\tau(\chi)$ is the Gauss sum (see [6] p. 93).

We start with giving a representation of $L_f(\frac{k}{2}, \chi)$ as a sum of two convergent series for $f \in \mathcal{P}_N$ using the functional equation.

Lemma 2 For any $x > 0$, let

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n) a_f(n) n^{-\frac{k}{2}} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{2\pi n}{x} \right)^j \right\} e^{-\frac{2\pi n}{x}}.$$

Where $f \in \mathcal{P}_N$ and χ is a fixed primitive Dirichlet character mod q with $(q, N) = 1$. Then we have

$$L_f\left(\frac{k}{2}, \chi\right) = \mathcal{A}(x) + \epsilon_\chi \bar{\mathcal{A}}(Nq^2/x)$$

where ϵ_χ is the root number of $L_f(s, \chi)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ is the conjugate of \mathcal{A} .

Proof: Define the function $\mathcal{E}(x)$ by

$$\mathcal{E}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\frac{3}{4})} \left(-\frac{1}{x}\right)^s \Gamma\left(s + \frac{k}{2}\right) \frac{ds}{s}$$

then

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)} \mathcal{E}\left(-\frac{1}{x}\right) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^j \right) e^{-\frac{1}{x}}.$$

Now by definition of $\mathcal{E}(x)$, it is clear that

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\frac{3}{4})} L_f\left(s + \frac{k}{2}, \chi\right) \left(\frac{x}{2\pi}\right)^s \frac{\Gamma\left(s + \frac{k}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)} s^{-1} ds.$$

Moving the line of integration from $\frac{3}{4}$ to $-\frac{3}{4}$, and using the functional equation for $L_f(s, \chi)$ yields

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = L_f\left(\frac{k}{2}, \chi\right) + \epsilon_\chi \int_{(-\frac{3}{4})} \left(\frac{2\pi x}{q^2 N}\right)^s \frac{\Gamma(-s + \frac{k}{2})}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)} L_f\left(-s + \frac{k}{2}, \bar{\chi}\right) s^{-1} ds$$

Now changing variables $s \mapsto -s$ gives the result. \square

Proposition 2 Let χ be a fixed primitive character modulo q . Then we have

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f L_f\left(\frac{k}{2}, \chi\right) = 1 + O\left(N^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log N)^{k-1}\right)$$

for N prime. The implied constant depends on q and k .

Proof: Choosing $x = q^2 N \log N$ in Lemma 2 gives

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}\left(\frac{Nq^2}{x}\right) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \overline{\chi(n)} a_f(n) n^{-\frac{k}{2}} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \frac{1}{j!} (2\pi n \log N)^j \right\} (N^{-2\pi})^n.$$

Using Lemma 1, we get

$$\left| \bar{\mathcal{A}}\left(\frac{Nq^2}{x}\right) \right| \leq c_0 \frac{k}{2} (2\pi)^{\frac{k}{2}-1} (\log N)^{\frac{k}{2}-1} O(N^{-2\pi}).$$

Therefore from Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f L_f\left(\frac{k}{2}, \chi\right) - 1 &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n) \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f \frac{a_f(n)}{\sqrt{n^{k-1}}} - \delta_{1n} \right) \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{2\pi n}{q^2 N \log N} \right)^j \right\} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} e^{-\frac{2\pi n}{q^2 N \log N}} \\ &+ \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{2\pi}{q^2 N \log N} \right)^j \right) e^{-\frac{2\pi}{q^2 N \log N}} - 1 + \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f \right) O(N^{-6} (\log N)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1, with $m = n = 1$ implies

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f = 1 + O(N^{\frac{1}{2}-k}).$$

Now by applying $m = 1$ in Proposition 1 and using the above identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f L_f\left(\frac{k}{2}, \chi\right) - 1 \right| &\leq M_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-k} \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{k-2} e^{-\frac{2\pi n}{q^2 N \log N}} + \left(\sum_{j=\frac{k}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{2\pi}{q^2 N \log N} \right)^j \right) e^{-\frac{2\pi}{q^2 N \log N}} \\ &+ M_2 N^{-6} (\log N)^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \leq M_3 N^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log N)^{k-1} + M_4 (N \log N)^{-\frac{k}{2}} + M_2 N^{-6} (\log N)^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \end{aligned}$$

where M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 are constants. This completes the proof. \square

4 Second moments

In this section we are going to find an asymptotic relation for the average values of $|L_f(\frac{k}{2}, \chi)|^2$ where f varies over \mathcal{P}_N . To start let $P_f(s) = L_f(s, \chi_1) L_f(s, \chi_2)$ where χ_1 and χ_2 are fixed primitive Dirichlet characters mod q_1 and q_2 . Then we have $P_f(s) = \sum_{l \geq 1} b_f(l) l^{-s}$, where

$$b_f(l) = \sum_{mn=l} \chi_1(m) \chi_2(n) a_f(m) a_f(n).$$

Define for $x > 0$

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\frac{3}{4})} (2\pi)^{-2s} \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{k}{2})^2}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})^2} x^{-s} \frac{ds}{s} \quad (1)$$

and set $\mathcal{B}(x) = \sum_{l \geq 1} b_f(l) l^{-\frac{k}{2}} g(\frac{l}{x})$. Then we have

Lemma 3 *Let $f \in \mathcal{P}_N$ and suppose that χ_1 and χ_2 are primitive Dirichlet characters mod q_1, q_2 with $(q_1 q_2, N) = 1$. For any $x > 0$, we have*

$$P_f\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) = \mathcal{B}(x) + \hat{\epsilon}_{\chi_1 \chi_2} \bar{\mathcal{B}}\left(\frac{(N q_1 q_2)^2}{x}\right)$$

where $\hat{\epsilon}_{\chi_1 \chi_2} = \chi_1 \chi_2(N) (\tau(\chi_1) \tau(\chi_2))^2 (q_1 q_2)^{-1}$ is the root number of $P_f(s)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$ is the conjugate of \mathcal{B} .

Proof: It is similar to the proof of Lemma 2, by writing $\mathcal{B}(x)$ as a line integral, moving the line of integration to the left of zero and applying the functional equation of $P_f(s)$, we get the desired result. \square

We come now to the following proposition.

Proposition 3 *Let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character mod q . Then*

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f |L_f(\frac{k}{2}, \chi)|^2 = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f P_f(\frac{k}{2}) = \prod_{p|q} (1 - p^{-1}) \log N + c + O(N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log N)$$

for N prime with $(q, N) = 1$, where c and the implied constant depend on q and k .

Proof: In Lemma 3, set $\chi_1 = \chi$, $\chi_2 = \bar{\chi}$, we have $\mathcal{B} = \bar{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\hat{e}_{\chi\bar{\chi}} = 1$. In Lemma 3 let $x = Nq^2$, then

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f P_f(\frac{k}{2}) = 2 \sum_{m, n \geq 1} \chi(m) \bar{\chi}(n) g(\frac{mn}{Nq^2}) \frac{1}{(mn)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f \frac{a_f(m)}{\sqrt{m^{k-1}}} \frac{a_f(n)}{\sqrt{n^{k-1}}}.$$

By Proposition 1, it is clear that

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f P_f(\frac{k}{2}) = 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} |\chi(n)|^2 g(\frac{n^2}{Nq^2}) n^{-1} + R \quad (2)$$

where

$$R \ll N^{\frac{1}{2}-k} \sum_{m, n \geq 1} g(\frac{mn}{Nq^2}) (m, n)^{\frac{1}{2}} (mn)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}. \quad (3)$$

The first term on the right hand side of (2) is evaluated using the definition of g as

$$\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{(\frac{3}{4})} L(2s+1, \chi_0) (2\pi)^{-2s} \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{k}{2})^2}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})^2} (Nq^2)^s \frac{ds}{s}$$

where χ_0 is the principal character mod q and $L(s, \chi_0) = \zeta(s) \prod_{p|q} (1 - \frac{1}{p^s})$. Since the integrand has a double pole at $s = 0$, by moving the line of integration from $\frac{3}{4}$ to $-\frac{1}{2}$, we see that the above integral is equal to

$$\prod_{p|q} (1 - p^{-1}) \log N + c + O(N^{-\frac{1}{2}}). \quad (4)$$

Now in (3) we calculate $\sum_{m, n \geq 1} g(\frac{mn}{Nq^2}) (m, n)^{\frac{1}{2}} (mn)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}$. It is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\frac{k+1}{2})} (2\pi)^{-2s} \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{k}{2})^2}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})^2} \left(\sum_{m, n \geq 1} (m, n)^{\frac{1}{2}} (mn)^{-(s - \frac{k}{2} + 1)} \right) (Nq^2)^s \frac{ds}{s}$$

because the integrand does not have any poles in the strip $\frac{3}{4} < \text{Re}(s) < \frac{k+1}{2}$ and

$$\sum_{m, n \geq 1} (m, n)^{\frac{1}{2}} (mn)^{-(s - \frac{k}{2} + 1)}$$

is absolutely convergent. Next we use the following identity

$$\sum_{m,n \geq 1} (m,n)^{\frac{1}{2}} (mn)^{-(s-\frac{k}{2}+1)} = \frac{\zeta(2s-k+\frac{3}{2})\zeta(s-\frac{k}{2}+1)^2}{\zeta(2s-k+2)}$$

(See [1] Lemma 4). By moving the line of integration from $\frac{k+1}{2}$ to $\frac{k}{2} - \epsilon$ ($\epsilon > 0$) we get

$$\sum_{m,n \geq 1} g\left(\frac{mn}{Nq^2}\right) (m,n)^{\frac{1}{2}} (mn)^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sim c_1 N^{\frac{k}{2}} \log N$$

and by (3), $R \ll N^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k}{2}} \log N$. This and (4) prove the Proposition. \square

5 A lower bound for the Petersson inner product

To complete the proof of Theorem 1 we need a lower bound in terms of N for $\langle f, f \rangle$ when $f \in \mathcal{P}_N$. Note that if $N_1 | N_2$ then $S_k(N_1) \subset S_k(N_2)$, therefore the value of the Petersson inner product depends on N . To emphasize this dependency from now on we show the Petersson inner product by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_N$.

Lemma 4 *If h is a normalized newform of level 1, then*

$$\langle h, h(Nz) \rangle_N = N^{1-k} c_h(N) \langle h, h \rangle_1$$

where $c_h(N)$ is the N -th Fourier coefficient of h .

Proof: Since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} W_N = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $h \in S_k(1)$, and the operator W_N is Hermitian, we have

$$\langle h, h(Nz) \rangle_N = N^{-\frac{k}{2}} \langle h|W_N, h(z) \rangle_N.$$

Now let F be a fundamental domain of $\Gamma_0(1) \backslash \mathcal{H}$ and let the elements

$$\left\{ \gamma_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & j \end{pmatrix}, 0 \leq j < N \right\}$$

be coset representatives for $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \Gamma_0(1)$. Then since $\Gamma_0(1) = \bigcup_{i=-1}^{N-1} \Gamma_0(N) \gamma_i$,

$$F' = \bigcup_{i=-1}^{N-1} \gamma_i F$$

is a fundamental domain of $\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathcal{H}$. So we have

$$\langle h, h(Nz) \rangle_N = N^{-\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{j=-1}^{N-1} \int_{\gamma_j F} (h|W_N)(z) \overline{h(z)} y^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2}.$$

Using the change of variable $z = \gamma_j w$, where $w = u + iv$ we find that this is

$$= N^{-\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{j=-1}^{N-1} \int_F ((h|W_N)|\gamma_j)(w) \overline{(h|\gamma_j)(w)} v^k \frac{du dv}{v^2}.$$

Now let $Tr(h|W_N) = \sum_{j=-1}^{N-1} (h|W_N)|\gamma_j$, then since $h|\gamma_j = h$ ($h \in S_k(1)$), we have

$$\langle h, h(Nz) \rangle_N = N^{-\frac{k}{2}} \langle Tr(h|W_N), h \rangle_1.$$

But we know that

$$Tr(h|W_N) = N^{1-\frac{k}{2}} c_h(N) h$$

where $c_h(N)$ is the N -th Fourier coefficient of h (see [4] P. 175, Problem 8). This completes the proof. \square

Now we use the above Lemma to get a lower bound for $\langle f, f \rangle_N$.

Lemma 5 *If $f \in \mathcal{P}_N - \mathcal{F}_N$ and N is a prime then*

$$\langle f, f \rangle_N \geq (N - 4N^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1) \langle h, h \rangle_1$$

where h is the normalized newform of weight k and level 1 associated to f .

Proof: Proposition 3.6 and 3.4 of [5] imply that $f(z) = h(z) \pm N^{\frac{k}{2}} h(Nz)$. Now by applying Lemma 4 we have

$$\langle f, f \rangle_N = \langle h \pm N^{\frac{k}{2}} h(Nz), h \pm N^{\frac{k}{2}} h(Nz) \rangle_N \geq (N + 1 \pm 2N^{\frac{k}{2}} N^{1-k} c_h(N)) \langle h, h \rangle_1.$$

Now applying the Deligne bound ($|c_h(n)| \leq \mathbf{d}(n) n^{\frac{k-1}{2}}$) for $c_h(N)$ yields the result. \square

The following proposition is the direct consequence of Lemma 5.

Proposition 4 *If $f \in \mathcal{P}_N - \mathcal{F}_N$, for N prime large enough*

$$\omega_f \ll_k \frac{1}{N}$$

with implied constant depending on k .

We are in the situation that we can prove the main theorem of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1:

We know that $\omega_f \ll_k \frac{\log N}{N}$ if $f \in \mathcal{F}_N$ (see [3] p. 178, remark and paragraph following the Main Theorem), now by Proposition 4 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f L_f\left(\frac{k}{2}, \chi\right) \right|^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_N; L_f(\frac{k}{2}, \chi) \neq 0} \omega_f + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N - \mathcal{F}_N; L_f(\frac{k}{2}, \chi) \neq 0} \omega_f \right) \sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f |L_f\left(\frac{k}{2}, \chi\right)|^2 \\ &\ll \left(\#\{f \in \mathcal{F}_N; L_f\left(\frac{k}{2}, \chi\right) \neq 0\} \frac{\log N}{N} + 2 \dim S_k(1) \frac{1}{N} \right) \sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f |L_f\left(\frac{k}{2}, \chi\right)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now theorem follows from Propositions 2 and 3. \square

6 Non-vanishing of product of twisted modular L -functions

We may try to use the above method to find a lower bound for the number of newforms f for which $P_f(s) = L_f(s, \chi_1)L_f(s, \chi_2)$ is non-zero at the centre of the critical strip. Here we assume that χ_1 and χ_2 are real and distinct such that $\chi_1\chi_2(-N) = 1$. To do this we need to derive asymptotic formulae for $\sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f P_f(\frac{k}{2})$ and $\sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f |P_f(\frac{k}{2})|^2$.

Proposition 5 *Let $\chi_1 \pmod{q_1}$ and $\chi_2 \pmod{q_2}$ be distinct real primitive Dirichlet characters such that $\chi_1\chi_2(-N) = 1$, then for N prime we have*

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f P_f(\frac{k}{2}) = 2L(1, \chi_1\chi_2) + O(N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log N)$$

where the implied constant depends on q_1q_2 and k .

Proof: In Lemma 3 we have $\hat{\epsilon}_{\chi_1\chi_2} = 1$. This is because $(\tau(\chi_i))^2 = \chi_i(-1)q_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ (see [6] p. 91). So we may repeat the proof of Proposition 3 line by line. The result follows with the observation that

$$\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{(\frac{3}{4})} L(2s+1, \chi_1\chi_2) (2\pi)^{-2s} \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{k}{2})^2}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})^2} (Nq_1q_2)^s \frac{ds}{s}$$

is equal to

$$2L(1, \chi_1\chi_2) + O(N^{-\frac{1}{2}}). \quad \square$$

We recall from (1) the definition of $g(x)$ as

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\frac{3}{4})} (2\pi)^{-2s} \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{k}{2})^2}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})^2} x^{-s} \frac{ds}{s}.$$

For $x > 0$ and a non-negative integer v , let

$$K_v(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{x}{2}(u + \frac{1}{u})} u^{-(v+1)} du$$

be the K_v -Bessel function.

In the next lemma we give a representation of $g(x)$ as a sum of the K -Bessel functions.

Lemma 6 $g(x) = \frac{2}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \frac{1}{j!} (2\pi\sqrt{x})^{\frac{k}{2}+j} K_{\frac{k}{2}-j}(4\pi\sqrt{x})$

Proof: From the definition of $g(x)$ and Γ function we have

$$I = \Gamma(\frac{k}{2})^2 g(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\frac{3}{4})} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty t_1^{s+\frac{k}{2}-1} t_2^{s+\frac{k}{2}-1} e^{-(t_1+t_2)} dt_1 dt_2 \right) (4\pi^2 x)^{-s} \frac{ds}{s}.$$

By interchanging the order of integration we get

$$I = \int_0^\infty t_1^{\frac{k}{2}-1} e^{-t_1} \left(\int_{\frac{4\pi^2 x}{t_1}}^\infty e^{-t_2} t_2^{\frac{k}{2}-1} dt_2 \right) dt_1.$$

Now the result follows by applying integration by parts in I and the fact that

$$\int_0^\infty t^{\frac{k}{2}-1-j} e^{-(t+\frac{4\pi^2 x}{t})} dt = 2(4\pi^2 x)^{\frac{k}{4}-\frac{j}{2}} K_{\frac{k}{2}-j}(4\pi\sqrt{x})$$

(see [7] p. 235, Formula 9.42). \square

Lemma 7 $g(x) \ll \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \leq 1 \\ x^{\frac{k}{2}-\frac{3}{4}} e^{-4\pi\sqrt{x}} & \text{for } x > 1 \end{cases}.$

Proof: By moving the line of integration from $\frac{3}{4}$ to $-\frac{3}{4}$, we have

$$g(x) = 1 + O(x^{\frac{3}{4}})$$

which proves the Lemma if $x \leq 1$.

If $x > 1$, we know

$$K_\nu(x) = \left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-x} [1 + O(\frac{1}{x})]$$

(see [8] p. 202). Now applying this identity to Lemma 6 yields the result. \square

Lemma 8 *Under the assumptions of Proposition 5, for $f \in \mathcal{P}_N$ and $X = Nq_1q_2(\log N)^2$, we have*

$$P_f\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) = \sum_{l \leq X} c_l a_f(l) + O(N^{-11})$$

where $c_l \ll \frac{\mathbf{d}(j)}{l^{\frac{k}{2}}} \log N$ and the implied constants depend on q_1q_2 and k .

Proof: In Lemma 3 set $x = Nq_1q_2$, then we have

$$P_f\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) = 2 \sum_{l=1}^\infty b_f(l) l^{-\frac{k}{2}} g\left(\frac{l}{Nq_1q_2}\right).$$

Now by using Lemma 7 and the fact that $b_f(l) \leq c_0^2 \mathbf{d}(l) l^{\frac{k}{2}}$ (see Lemma 1), we have

$$P_f\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) = 2 \sum_{l \leq X} b_f(l) l^{-\frac{k}{2}} g\left(\frac{l}{Nq_1q_2}\right) + O(N^{-11}). \quad (5)$$

In (5) the sum can be written as

$$\sum_{l \leq X} 2l^{-\frac{k}{2}} g\left(\frac{l}{Nq_1q_2}\right) \sum_{mn=l} \chi_1(m) \chi_2(n) a_f(m) a_f(n) = (*) + (\dagger) \quad (6)$$

where $(*)$ is the sum over the terms with $(m, N) = 1$, and (\dagger) is the sum over the terms with $N|m$.

We know that if $(m, N) = 1$ then for $f \in \mathcal{P}_N$

$$a_f(m)a_f(n) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} d^{k-1} a_f\left(\frac{mn}{d^2}\right)$$

(see [4], p. 163, Proposition 39). Using this identity in (5) yields

$$(*) = \sum_{l \leq X} 2l^{-\frac{k}{2}} g\left(\frac{l}{Nq_1q_2}\right) \sum_{mn=l, (m,N)=1} \chi_1(m)\chi_2(n) \sum_{d|(m,n)} d^{k-1} a_f\left(\frac{l}{d^2}\right).$$

By setting $j = \frac{l}{d^2}$ and rearranging the above sum, we have

$$(*) = \sum_{j \leq X} \left(\sum_{d \leq \sqrt{\frac{X}{j}}} \frac{2}{j^{\frac{k}{2}} d} g\left(\frac{jd^2}{Nq_1q_2}\right) \sum_{\substack{mn=jd^2 \\ d|(m,n)}} \chi_1(m)\chi_2(n) \right) a_f(j) = \sum_{j \leq X} \alpha_j a_f(j) \quad (7)$$

where $\alpha_j \ll \frac{\mathbf{d}(j)}{j^{\frac{k}{2}}} \log N$ by using Lemma 7.

Now suppose that $N|m$. Since $m \leq X = Nq_1q_2(\log N)^2$, for N large enough we can assume that $m = m_0N$ where $(m_0, N) = 1$. Using the multiplicative property of $a_f(n)$'s, we have

$$(\dagger) = \sum_{l \leq X} 2l^{-\frac{k}{2}} g\left(\frac{l}{Nq_1q_2}\right) \sum_{mn=l, m=m_0N} \chi_1(m)\chi_2(n) a_f(N) \sum_{d|(m_0,n)} d^{k-1} a_f\left(\frac{l}{Nd^2}\right).$$

Now set $\frac{l}{Nd^2} = j$. Rearranging (\dagger) yields

$$(\dagger) = \sum_{j \leq \frac{X}{N}} \left(\sum_{d \leq \sqrt{\frac{X}{Nj}}} \frac{2N^{-\frac{k}{2}} a_f(N)}{j^{\frac{k}{2}} d} g\left(\frac{jd^2}{q_1q_2}\right) \sum_{\substack{mn=Njd^2, m=m_0N \\ d|(m_0,n)}} \chi_1(m)\chi_2(n) \right) a_f(j) = \sum_{j \leq \frac{X}{N}} \beta_j a_f(j) \quad (8)$$

where $\beta_j \ll \frac{\mathbf{d}(j)}{j^{\frac{k}{2}}} \log N$. Here again we are using Lemma 7 and the fact that $|a_f(N)| \leq c_0 N^{\frac{k}{2}}$ (Lemma 1).

The result follows from (6), (7) and (8). \square

We now employ the following mean value result.

Lemma 9 For N prime and complex numbers c_n we have

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f \left| \sum_{l \leq X} c_l a_f(l) \right|^2 = (1 + O(N^{-1} X \log X)) \sum_{l \leq X} l |c_l|^2$$

with an absolute implied constant.

Proof: See [2] Theorem 1. \square

Now by applying Lemma 9 to Lemma 8, we get

Proposition 6 *Under the assumption of Proposition 5 we have*

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_N} \omega_f |P_f(\frac{k}{2})|^2 \ll (\log N)^5$$

for $k > 2$. The implied constant depends on $q_1 q_2$ and k .

We can now state the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2:

It is enough to replace $L_f(\frac{k}{2}, \chi)$ with $P_f(\frac{k}{2}, \chi)$ in the proof of Theorem 1 and apply propositions 5 and 6. \square

Note: In the case $k = 2$ we get the lower bound $C_2 N (\log N)^{-10}$ for the number of non-vanishing $P_f(\frac{k}{2})$ (see [1] Theorem 2).

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Professor Kumar Murty for reading the manuscript and providing many helpful suggestions and discussions. Also I would like to thank Vijay Patankar for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] W. DUKE, The critical order of vanishing of automorphic L -functions with large level, *Invent. Math.* **119** (1995), 165-174.
- [2] W. DUKE, J. FRIEDLANDER, H. IWANIEC, Bounds for automorphic L -functions. II., *Invent. Math.* **115** (1994), 219-239.
- [3] D. GOLDFELD, J. HOFFSTEIN, AND D. LIEMAN, An effective zero free region, Appendix to: Coefficients of Maass forms and the Sigel zero, *Ann. Math* **140** (1994), 177-181.
- [4] N. KOBLITZ, *Introduction to Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms*, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [5] A. PIZER, Hecke operators for $\Gamma_0(N)$, *Journal of Algebra* **83** (1983), 39-64.
- [6] G. SHIMURA, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [7] N. M. TEMME, *Special functions: an introduction to the classical functions of mathematical physics*, John Wiley, 1996.
- [8] G. N. WATSON, *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions, Second ed.*, Cambridge University Press, 1948.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Concordia University, 1455 de Maisonneuve Blvd. West, Montréal, Quebec, H3G 1M8, CANADA
E-mail: akbary@cisma.concordia.ca