

MATH 1410

Solutions for the Sample Second Midterm

(1) Solve the following systems of equations.

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3 = 9 \\ \text{(a)} \quad & 2x_1 - x_2 + x_3 = 0 \\ & 4x_1 - x_2 + x_3 = 4 \end{aligned}$$

Solution:

We do the usual thing: we put our right hands in, we take our right hands out, we put our right hands in, and we shake them all about...wait, that's the hokey pokey. I meant, we create and row reduce the associated augmented matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & -3 & 9 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & -1 & 1 & 4 \end{array} \right] \\ R_3 - R_2 & \longrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & -3 & 9 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{array} \right] \\ \frac{1}{2}R_3 & \longrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & -3 & 9 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{array} \right] \\ R_1 \leftrightarrow R_3 & \longrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & -3 & 9 \end{array} \right] \\ R_2 - 2R_1 & \\ R_3 - R_1 & \longrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & -4 \\ 0 & 2 & -3 & 7 \end{array} \right] \\ -R_2 & \longrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 4 \\ 0 & 2 & -3 & 7 \end{array} \right] \\ R_3 - 2R_2 & \longrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

(continued)

(continued)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \end{array} \right] \\ &\xrightarrow{-R_3} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \\ &\xrightarrow{R_2 + R_3} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This matrix is in RREF (reduced row echelon form). Writing down the system that it represents gives us the only solution for the original system:

$$x_1 = 2, \quad x_2 = 5, \quad x_3 = 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} (b) \quad &a + b + c + d = 4 \\ &a + 2b + 3c + 4d = 10 \\ &a + 3b + 6c + 10d = 20 \\ &a + 4b + 10c + 20d = 35 \end{aligned}$$

Solution:

We create and reduce the associated augmented matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 10 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 & 10 & 20 \\ 1 & 4 & 10 & 20 & 35 \end{array} \right] \\ &\xrightarrow{\begin{array}{l} R_2 - R_1 \\ R_3 - R_1 \\ R_4 - R_1 \end{array}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 & 9 & 16 \\ 0 & 3 & 9 & 19 & 31 \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

(continued)

(continued)

$$= \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 & 9 & 16 \\ 0 & 3 & 9 & 19 & 31 \end{array} \right]$$
$$\begin{array}{l} R_1 - R_2 \\ R_3 - 2R_2 \\ R_4 - 3R_2 \\ \rightarrow \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & -1 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 10 & 13 \end{array} \right]$$
$$\begin{array}{l} R_1 + R_3 \\ R_2 - 2R_3 \\ R_4 - 3R_3 \\ \rightarrow \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -3 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$
$$\begin{array}{l} R_1 - R_4 \\ R_2 + 3R_4 \\ R_3 - 3R_4 \\ \rightarrow \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

By observation, the only solution is

$$a = 1, \quad b = 1, \quad c = 1, \quad d = 1.$$

(2) Row reduce the following matrices to reduced row echelon form, and circle the pivot elements.

$$(a) \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 4 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & -2 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 & 4 & -2 \end{array} \right]$$

Solution:

I might not be allowed to use a calculator, so I'm going to avoid fractions as long as I can:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 4 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & -2 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 & 4 & -2 \end{array} \right]$$
$$\begin{array}{l} R_1 + 2R_3 \\ R_2 + 2R_3 \\ \rightarrow \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & -4 & 9 & -4 \\ 0 & -4 & 8 & -3 \\ -2 & -1 & 4 & -2 \end{array} \right]$$

(continued)

(continued)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -4 & 9 & -4 \\ 0 & -4 & 8 & -3 \\ -2 & -1 & 4 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{R}_1 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{R}_3 \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} & \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & 4 & -2 \\ 0 & -4 & 8 & -3 \\ 0 & -4 & 9 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{array}{l} -4\mathbf{R}_1 \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} & \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 4 & -16 & 8 \\ 0 & -4 & 8 & -3 \\ 0 & -4 & 9 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{R}_1 + \mathbf{R}_2 \\ \mathbf{R}_3 - \mathbf{R}_2 \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} & \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 0 & -8 & 5 \\ 0 & -4 & 8 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{R}_1 + 8\mathbf{R}_3 \\ \mathbf{R}_2 - 8\mathbf{R}_3 \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} & \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 0 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} (1/8)\mathbf{R}_1 \\ (-1/4)\mathbf{R}_2 \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} \boxed{\begin{bmatrix} \textcircled{1} & 0 & 0 & -3/8 \\ 0 & \textcircled{1} & 0 & -5/4 \\ 0 & 0 & \textcircled{1} & -1 \end{bmatrix}}.$$

$$(b) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 3/7 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution:

WHAT?! There's *already* a fraction! DIE, FRACTION, DIE!

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 3/7 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\frac{7}{3}\mathbf{R}_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Whew! Now we can continue row reducing the matrix.

(continued)

(continued)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{R}_1 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{R}_4 \\ \mathbf{R}_2 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{R}_3 \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{R}_3 - \mathbf{R}_1 \\ \mathbf{R}_4 - \mathbf{R}_1 \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{R}_3 - \mathbf{R}_2 \\ \mathbf{R}_4 - 2\mathbf{R}_2 \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{R}_3 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{R}_4 \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{R}_4 + 2\mathbf{R}_3 \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} \boxed{\begin{bmatrix} \textcircled{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \textcircled{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \textcircled{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}.$$

(3) (a) Decide if the vectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

span \mathbb{R}^3 , and if they are linearly dependent or independent.

Solution:

To make *both* decisions, we first create a matrix whose columns are the given vectors:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & -2 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 & 4 & -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We then row reduce this matrix to reduced row echelon form...WAITAMINUTE! We *already* reduced this matrix in (2)(a)! The RREF that we got was

$$\begin{bmatrix} \textcircled{1} & 0 & 0 & -3/8 \\ 0 & \textcircled{1} & 0 & -5/4 \\ 0 & 0 & \textcircled{1} & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

What a nice coinkydink! (☺) Anyways, now that we have the RREF, we can answer both questions.

First, the given vectors span the \mathbb{R}^n to which they belong if and only if the RREF of the matrix in which they were the columns has a *leading one in every row*. In our case, the RREF has a leading one in each of its three rows, so the given vectors do span \mathbb{R}^3 .

Second, the given vectors are linearly **independent** if and only if the RREF of the matrix in which they were the columns has a *leading one in every column*. In our case, the RREF does *not* have a leading one in its fourth column, so the given vectors are *not* linearly independent, which means that they are linearly **dependent**.

(b) Decide if the vectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3/7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

span \mathbb{R}^4 , and if they are linearly dependent or independent.

Solution:

Again, we create a matrix whose columns are the given vectors:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 3/7 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we look at (2)(b) and notice that IT'S NOT THE SAME MATRIX! Master betrayed us! Wicked, tricky, false! Anyways, let's row reduce this new matrix, precious:

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 3/7 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \\ \frac{7}{3}R_3 \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{array}{l} R_1 \leftrightarrow R_4 \\ R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3 \\ \rightarrow \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{array}{l} R_3 - R_1 \\ R_4 - R_1 \\ \rightarrow \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{array}{l} R_3 - R_2 \\ R_4 - 2R_2 \\ \rightarrow \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{array}$$

(continued)

(continued)

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} -R_3 \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} R_4 - R_3 \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} \boxed{\begin{bmatrix} \textcircled{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \textcircled{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \textcircled{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}.$$

This matrix is in RREF, so we can make our decisions. To begin, the fourth row of this matrix does *not* contain a leading one, so the given vectors do not span \mathbb{R}^4 .

Next, this matrix has a leading one in each of its three columns, so the given vectors *are* linearly independent.

(4) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $C = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$.

Calculate each of the following matrices if possible. If not, say undefined.

(a) $A + B$

Solution:

We notice that A is 2×3 while B is 3×2 ; since their sizes differ, their sum is undefined.

(b) $AB + C$

Solution:

Let us first ensure that the desired matrix is defined: A is 2×3 and B is 3×2 i.e. the inside numbers are both 3's, so AB is defined and its size is given by the outside numbers: 2×2 . This matches the size of C , so $AB + C$ is also defined.

To compute the desired matrix, we begin with the product AB . We've seen how to multiply matrices using linear combinations of column vectors, but there is a more compact[†] method:

Matrix Multiplication 2.0: Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix and B be an $n \times p$ matrix, so that AB is defined and has size $m \times p$. To use this technique, we consider both the *rows* of A and the *columns* of B to be vectors from \mathbb{R}^n . Then, the entry in row i and column j of AB (also called its (i, j) -entry) is the *dot product* of row i in A with column j in B .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let's see this in action: } AB &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (2)(-2) + (5)(1) + (4)(0) & (2)(0) + (5)(1) + (4)(2) \\ (0)(-2) + (3)(1) + (-1)(0) & (0)(0) + (3)(1) + (-1)(2) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -4 + 5 + 0 & 0 + 5 + 8 \\ 0 + 3 - 0 & 0 + 3 - 2 \end{bmatrix} = \boxed{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 13 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) $BA + C$

Solution:

Since B is 3×2 and A is 2×3 , BA is defined and has size 3×3 , which does *not* match the size of C . Therefore, $BA + C$ is undefined.

[†]It requires the same amount of arithmetic (we do the same number of multiplications and the same number of additions/subtractions) but *far* less writing.

(d) CAB

Solution:

Hmmm...are we supposed to multiply CA first and then multiply our result on the left of B , or do we multiply AB first and then multiply C on the left of our result?

It doesn't matter! The two procedures described above will produce the *same* answer; that is, $(CA)B = C(AB)$. Actually, the latter one is preferable because we've *already* computed AB :

$$\begin{aligned} CAB &= C(AB) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & | & 13 \\ 3 & | & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (2)(1) + (0)(3) & (2)(13) + (0)(1) \\ (1)(1) + (2)(3) & (1)(13) + (2)(1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2+0 & 26+0 \\ 1+6 & 13+2 \end{bmatrix} = \boxed{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 26 \\ 7 & 15 \end{bmatrix}}. \end{aligned}$$

(e) $B + A^{-1}$

Solution:

Let A and B be arbitrary matrices (not necessarily the ones defined in this question). If $AB = BA = I$, we call B the *inverse* of A and give it the label A^{-1} .

Now say that the $p \times q$ matrix B is the inverse of the $m \times n$ matrix A . Then, AB is defined, so $n = p$; moreover, AB is $m \times q$. Also, BA is defined, so $q = m$; moreover, BA is $p \times n$. Lastly, $AB = BA$, so $m = p$ and $q = n$; this means that $m = n = p = q$ i.e. A and B are *necessarily* square matrices of the same size.

Back to the question at hand: the given matrix A is *not* square (it has more columns than rows), so its inverse, A^{-1} , does *not* exist. Hence, $B + A^{-1}$ is undefined.

(f) C^{-1}

Solution:

C is square, so it *could* have an inverse D ; no promises, though! If it existed, D would be the same size as C and satisfy $CD = DC = I$. Of course, that equation can only be satisfied if CD is the 2×2 identity matrix, so let us find entries $a, b, c,$ and d in D such that $CD = I_2$, or

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} a & b & & \\ c & d & & \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \implies \left[\begin{array}{cc} 2a & 2b \\ a+2c & b+2d \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

These two matrices can only be equal if their corresponding entries are equal. Comparing their $(1, 1)$ -entries, we see that $2a = 1$, so $a = 1/2$. Equating their $(1, 2)$ -entries, we find that $b = 0$. Continuing this for the remaining corresponding entries, we get

$$a + 2c = 0 \implies c = -\frac{a}{2} = -\frac{1}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad b + 2d = 1 \implies d = \frac{1-b}{2} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

We hypothesize that $D = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1/2 & 0 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{array} \right]$. To confirm that it is the inverse of C , we compute

$$DC = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1/2 & 0 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 2 & 0 & & \\ 1 & 2 & & \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = I.$$

D is indeed the inverse of C . Ergo, $C^{-1} =$

$$\boxed{\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1/2 & 0 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{array} \right]}.$$

(5) Let $A = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$, and assume that $A^5 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$.

Solve for x .

Solution:

There are two ways to compute A^5 .

Method 1: Brute force!

$$A^2 = AA = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & x & & \\ 0 & 1 & & \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2x \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]. \quad \text{(continued)}$$

$$\text{(continued) } A^3 = AA^2 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 2x \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 3x \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

$$A^4 = AA^3 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 3x \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 4x \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

$$A^5 = AA^4 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 4x \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 5x \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Method 2: Elementary matrices

Since A may be created by performing $R_1 + xR_2$ on the 2×2 identity matrix, it is an elementary matrix that represents this operation i.e. multiplying another matrix on the left by A performs $R_1 + xR_2$ on that other matrix. Left-multiplying by A^5 means left-multiplying by A five times in sequence, which means that the operation is performed five times in sequence. Consequently,

$$A^5 = A^5 I = A^5 \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 5x \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Whatever way we compute it, A^5 must be equal to $\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$

$$\implies \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 5x \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \implies 5x = 1 \implies x = \boxed{1/5}.$$

(6) Find 2×2 matrices A and B so that $AB \neq BA$.

Solution:

Would you believe? If you randomly select entries, you are *more* likely to make $AB \neq BA$ than you are to make $AB = BA$!

$$\text{Here goes: let } A = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{array} \right] \text{ and } B = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{array} \right].$$

$$\text{Then, } AB = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 5 & 6 \\ \hline 7 & 8 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 19 & 22 \\ 43 & 50 \end{array} \right],$$

$$\text{while } BA = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 4 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 23 & 34 \\ 31 & 46 \end{array} \right], \text{ so } AB \neq BA. \text{ It worked!}$$